

Original Article

Austin's Theory of Sovereignty: An Exploration of Political Authority and Legal Order

Saket Bihari

Assistant Professor, Teachers' Training College, Bhagalpur
 Affiliated to Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur

Email: saket15ghosh@gmail.com

Submitted: 20-Aug-2024 Revised: 30-Aug-2024 Accepted: 17-Sept-2024 Published: 31-Oct-2024

Quick Response Code:



Access this article online

Website: <https://ibrij.us>

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14177839

Manuscript ID:
 IBMIRJ -2024-010103

Volume 1

Issue 1

October 2024

E-ISSN: 3065-7857

How to cite this article:

Bihari, S. (2024). Austin's Theory of Sovereignty: An Exploration of Political Authority and Legal Order. *InSight Bulletin: A Multidisciplinary Interlink International Research Journal*, 1(1), 8–12.
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14177839>

Address for correspondence:

Saket Bihari
 VPO Ranikitra, PS-Amarpur,
 District-Banka, Bihar
 Pin- 813101
 Email: saket15ghosh@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The paper explores, via the prism of Austinian viewpoints, the complex interplay between sovereignty, political power, and the rule of law. The study clarifies the fundamental ideas that support the exercise of political authority and the development of legal standards within a sovereign state by analysing the influential theories of John Austin, a well-known legal philosopher of the 19th century. The significance of sovereignty as a tenet of contemporary political theory is explained in the introduction of the study. It examines the concept's historical development, outlining how it came to be a significant factor in determining statehood and the legitimacy of governance.

Austin's theory of law and its consequences for political authority play a key role in the analysis. The essay analyses Austin's definition of law as a directive given by a sovereign supported by the prospect of penalties to show the connection between legal duty, coercive enforcement, and state power. It also explores possible criticisms and extensions of Austin's theories, taking into account their applicability and adaptability in tackling modern issues like globalisation, human rights, and the rise of non-state actors. The research emphasises the ongoing importance of Austinian ideas in influencing how we perceive sovereignty, political authority, and the rule of law in its conclusion. This essay provides a thorough examination of the complex dynamics that underpin the exercise of power and the creation of legal norms within sovereign political organisations by engaging with Austin's theories.

Key Words: Sovereignty, Austinian Perspectives, Political Authority, Legal Order, John Austin, Statehood, Governance, Theory of Law, Legal Obligation.

INTRODUCTION

Sovereignty refers to a state's or governing body's supreme and autonomous authority over its territory and people. It is a key idea in political science and international relations, and it is essential in defining a state's powers and obligations.

The concept of sovereignty includes several fundamental components:

Supremacy: Sovereignty denotes that the state has supreme and final power over its territory. It has the authority to make and enforce laws, establish institutions, and rule its people without intervention from outside sources.

Independence: Sovereignty refers to a state's freedom and autonomy from foreign authority or interference. It indicates that a state is independent of any higher authority and is free to establish its own political, economic, and social institutions.

Territoriality: Sovereignty is linked to the concept of geographical jurisdiction. A sovereign state exercises its sovereignty within clearly defined borders recognised by other governments and the international community.



This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The Creative Commons Attribution license allows re-distribution and re-use of a licensed work on the condition that the creator is appropriately credited

Legitimacy: Sovereignty denotes the state's rightful exercise of power and authority. It is usually founded on the consent of the governed and is supported by legal and political institutions.

It is critical to understand that sovereignty can be both internal and external. Internal sovereignty refers to a state's ability to exercise authority and control over its own territory while also preserving law and order and providing governance for its population. External sovereignty refers to a state's recognition and respect by other countries in the international community, which allows it to engage in diplomatic contacts, sign treaties, and participate in international organisations.

In the context of globalisation, regional integration, and increasing connectivity of nations, sovereignty has been the topic of arguments and problems. Some say that conventional concepts of sovereignty are eroding as governments share authority and decision-making with supranational organisations, or as a result of economic interdependence and the growth of international laws and standards.

Overall, sovereignty is a comprehensive term that defines a state's authority, independence, and territorial control. It is a fundamental premise in the study of politics, law, and international relations, influencing state interactions and their position in the global order.

Characteristics of Sovereignty

Here are some other important aspects of sovereignty:

Sovereignty is often regarded as a permanent trait of a state. While governments and political systems change, the state's core sovereignty stays intact unless it is freely abandoned or forcibly removed.

1. **Non-Intervention:** The principle of non-intervention is enshrined in sovereignty, which means that external players should not intervene in the internal affairs of a sovereign state without its approval. This principle, which emphasises respect for state sovereignty, is an important part of international law and relations.
2. **State Equality:** Sovereignty implies that all states are treated equally in the international system. States have the same fundamental rights to sovereignty, regardless of their size, population, or economic strength, and are entitled to equal treatment and respect from other states.
3. **Inalienability:** Sovereignty is widely seen as inalienable, which means that a state cannot entirely transfer or surrender its sovereignty to another entity. While states may participate into voluntary partnerships, alliances, or unions, they preserve their fundamental sovereignty.
4. **Jurisdiction:** Sovereignty grants a state the right to create and enforce laws inside its borders,

guaranteeing that it has the ability to regulate and manage its inhabitants and institutions. This jurisdiction includes issues such as criminal justice, taxation, and policy administration.

5. **External Representation:** A state's sovereignty enables it to represent itself in international forums and talks. It gives the state the ability to speak on behalf of its citizens, preserve its national interests, and participate in international affairs as a unique entity.
6. **Constitutional Basis:** Sovereignty is frequently supported by a constitution or legal framework that outlines the state's powers and boundaries. Constitutions define the institutional structure, citizen rights, and the foundation for exercising sovereignty within a state.
7. **Exclusivity:** Sovereignty entails exclusive authority and decision-making ability over a state's territory. It means that no outside authority or entity has the authority to impose its will on the state. While governments may willingly participate in international cooperation and accords, sovereignty assures that they have the last say over their own affairs.
8. **International Recognition:** Other governments in the international community normally recognise and respect sovereignty. It enables a state to engage in diplomatic relations, sign treaties, and participate in world affairs on an equal footing.
9. **Indivisibility:** Sovereignty is regarded indivisible, which means that it cannot be separated or shared with another authority inside the territory of a state. While power can be decentralised through regional or local government models, the central governing body retains ultimate authority and sovereignty.

These additional features contribute to a better understanding of the nature and implications of sovereignty. They emphasise the significance of non-interference, equality among states, and internal legal and constitutional foundations that underlie a state's exercise of sovereignty.

It is crucial to highlight that the concept of sovereignty is not absolute and can be subject to constraints, agreements, and challenges in specific circumstances. International law, regional integration, and global interdependence can all have an impact on the exercise of sovereignty and complicate its application. Nonetheless, these features give a framework for comprehending the fundamental dimensions of sovereignty and its importance in political and international relations.

Types of Sovereignty Different sorts or forms of sovereignty can be distinguished based on a variety of

variables. Here are a few examples of notable sorts of sovereignty:

1. **Internal Sovereignty:** Internal sovereignty refers to a state's jurisdiction and control over its domestic affairs, as well as the exercise of power within its borders. It includes the power to create and enforce laws, maintain order, and rule the population without interference from outside parties.
2. **External Sovereignty:** External Sovereignty refers to a state's independence and autonomy in dealing with other states and international actors. It includes the state's ability to set its own foreign policy, engage in diplomatic contacts, and participate in international affairs on an equal footing with the rest of the world.
3. **Popular Sovereignty:** Popular sovereignty emphasises the belief that the people are the ultimate source of political power. It implies that the legitimacy of the state's authority is derived from the governed permission and desire. Popular sovereignty is frequently manifested in democratic systems, in which citizens engage in decision-making through voting and representation.
4. **Parliamentary Sovereignty:** Parliamentary sovereignty is a system in which the legislative body, such as a parliament, has supreme power and authority inside the state. It means that the legislative body's laws and decisions are binding and cannot be overruled by other branches of government or other bodies.
5. **De Jure Sovereignty:** De jure sovereignty refers to other states' and the international community's legal or formal acknowledgement of a state's sovereignty. It denotes a state's legitimate and recognised position as an autonomous political entity, complete with a specified territory and government.
6. **De Facto Sovereignty:** De facto sovereignty refers to a state's practical or factual exercise of authority and control, even if it lacks legal or formal acknowledgment from other governments. This can happen when a state runs efficiently within a region but faces legitimacy or recognition issues.
7. **Shared Sovereignty:** Shared Sovereignty refers to a situation in which numerous entities or actors, such as regional or international organisations, share authority and decision-making within a certain domain or over a specific subject. This type of sovereignty is frequently encountered in circumstances of regional integration or supranational administration.

It should be noted that these sorts of sovereignty are not mutually exclusive and can coexist or overlap in different settings. A state's specific form of sovereignty might vary depending on its constitutional structures,

political system, and the dynamics of its foreign connections.

Austin's Theory of Sovereignty

Austin's theory of sovereignty, developed in the nineteenth century by legal philosopher John Austin, offers a legal and positivist perspective on sovereignty. Austin's theory is concerned with the relationship between a sovereign and the subjects of a legal system. Here are the main points of Austin's theory: Austin defines sovereignty as "the supreme and ultimate power to make and enforce laws that is independent of any external authority." The sovereign is the person or entity who wields this supreme power and is not subject to the dictates of any other political authority.

Austin's thesis is founded on the command theory of law, which holds that laws are commands issued by the sovereign and backed up by the prospect of punishment. Laws, according to Austin, are rules established by the sovereign that must be observed by the subjects.

Legal Positivism: Austin's thesis is part of the legal positivist school of thought, which holds that laws are made by human authority and exist independently of moral or natural law. The validity of laws stems from their formal enactment by the sovereign, not from their adherence to higher moral or ethical ideals.

Limitless and Indivisible Sovereignty: According to Austin, sovereignty is limitless, which means that the sovereign has ultimate authority and discretion to enact and enforce laws without regard for legal or institutional limits. Sovereignty is also indivisible, which means that a legal system can only have one sovereign authority.

Positive Law and State Sovereignty: Austin's theory emphasises the importance of positive law, which refers to the laws that the sovereign actually enacts and enforces. He regards positive law as the basic source of legal duty and emphasises the state's role as the supreme authority in the legal system.

Austin's theory of sovereignty affected legal and political philosophy, particularly in terms of comprehending the link between state authority and the rule of law. His approach, however, has been criticised, particularly for its narrow concentration on formal legal structures and its absence of moral and ethical concerns in determining the legitimacy of laws.

The Pluralistic attack on Austin's Theory of sovereignty

The pluralistic critique of Austin's theory of sovereignty arose as a response to its restricted concentration on a single sovereign authority. Pluralistic thinkers contend that sovereignty is distributed across various actors and institutions rather

than being vested in a centralised state or individual. Here are some main critiques levelled by pluralistic thinkers:

1. **Multiple Centres of Power:** Pluralists say that power and authority are spread across various individuals and institutions rather than concentrated in a single sovereign. They emphasise the influence and autonomy of subnational governments, regional organisations, multinational companies, and non-state players in shaping governance and decision-making processes.
2. **Globalisation and Interdependence:** According to pluralistic theorists, the rising interconnection and interdependence of states has compromised the state's exclusive authority. Climate change, terrorism, and economic interconnectedness all necessitate joint efforts from numerous parties, weakening the concept of total state sovereignty.
3. **Supranational Organizations:** Pluralistic perspectives refer to the growth of supranational organisations, such as the European Union, in which member states freely pool their sovereignty to handle common challenges. They contend that these organisations contradict the traditional idea of sovereignty as the state's indivisible and exclusive authority.
4. **International Law and Human Rights:** Pluralists emphasise the importance of international law and human rights principles as restraints on state sovereignty. They argue that states are bound to follow international legal frameworks and safeguard human rights, hence restricting their absolute power and discretion.
5. **Subnational and Minority Rights:** Pluralistic theorists emphasise the significance of recognising and accommodating the rights and aspirations of subnational groups and minority communities. They claim that pluralistic approaches to sovereignty allow for the safeguarding of multiple identities as well as the empowerment of local communities within a larger governance framework.
6. **Democratic Participation:** Pluralists emphasise the importance of democratic involvement and decision-making mechanisms outside of the state. They claim that sovereignty should reflect the desires and interests of the people, and they advocate for the participation of a diverse range of voices and stakeholders in decision-making processes.

These diverse critiques of sovereignty as a monolithic and centralised power call for a more multifaceted and inclusive approach to government. They emphasise the importance of taking into account the complex and

interconnected nature of today's political and social systems.

REFERENCES

1. Austin, J. (1832). *The Province of Jurisprudence Determined*. London: John Murray.
2. Austin, J. (1955). *Lectures on Jurisprudence or the Philosophy of Positive Law*. London: John Murray.
3. Austin, J. L. (1954). *The Province of Jurisprudence Determined*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
4. Dahl, R. A. (1957). The concept of power. *Behavioral Science*, 2(3), 201-215.
5. Dworkin, R. (1977). *Taking Rights Seriously*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
6. Dyzenhaus, D. (1997). Legality and Legitimacy: Carl Schmitt, Hans Kelsen, and Hermann Heller in Weimar. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 17(4), 467-498. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/17.4.467>
7. Fuller, L. L. (1964). *The Morality of Law*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
8. Hart, H. L. A. (1958). Positivism and the Separation of Law and Morals. *Harvard Law Review*, 71(4), 593-629. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1338225>
9. Hart, H. L. A. (1961). *The Concept of Law*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
10. Hohfeld, W. N. (1917). Some Fundamental Legal Conceptions as Applied in Judicial Reasoning. *Yale Law Journal*, 26(8), 710-770. <https://doi.org/10.2307/786255>
11. Huntington, S. P. (1968). *Political order in changing societies*. Yale University Press.
12. Kelsen, H. (1967). *Pure Theory of Law*. London: University of California Press.
13. Kelsen, H. (1967). *Pure Theory of Law*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
14. Keohane, R. O. (1984). *After hegemony: Cooperation and discord in the world political economy*. Princeton University Press.
15. Lijphart, A. (1977). *Democracy in plural societies: A comparative exploration*. Yale University Press.
16. Llewellyn, K. (1960). *The Bramble Bush: On Our Law and Its Study*. New York, NY: Oceana Publications.
17. MacCormick, N. (2007). H. L. A. Hart. In J. Coleman & S. Shapiro (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Jurisprudence and Philosophy of*

- Law (pp. 86-117). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
18. Morgenthau, H. J. (1978). *Politics among nations: The struggle for power and peace*. McGraw-Hill.
 19. Neumann, I. B. (2014). *Uses of the other: "The East" in European identity formation*. University of Minnesota Press.
 20. Raz, J. (1979). *The Authority of Law: Essays on Law and Morality*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
 21. Raz, J. (1986). *The Concept of a Legal System: An Introduction to the Theory of Legal Systems*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
 22. Raz, J. (1979). *The Authority of Law: Essays on Law and Morality*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
 23. Rousseau, J. J. (1762). *The social contract*. Penguin Books.
 24. Sartori, G. (1987). *The theory of democracy revisited*. Chatham House Publishers.
 25. Schmitt, C. (2007). *The concept of the political*. University of Chicago Press.
 26. Schmitt, C. (2005). *The Concept of the Political*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
 27. Waldron, J. (1999). *Law and Disagreement*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
 28. Weber, M. (1946). *Politics as a vocation*. In H. H. Gerth & C. Wright Mills (Eds.), *From Max Weber: Essays in sociology* (pp. 77-128). Oxford University Press.
 29. Wendt, A. (1999). *Social theory of international politics*. Cambridge University Press.