



Original Article

Cultural Capital Revisited: Inclusion of Local, Indigenous and Marginalized Knowledge in Classroom Knowledge Construction- A review

Pratima Sundas¹, Dr. Ajay Samir Kujur²

¹Research Scholar, GGV

²Associate Professor, GGV

Manuscript ID:
IBMIRJ -2026-030304

Submitted: 09 Feb. 2026

Revised: 15 Feb. 2026

Accepted: 10 Mar. 2026

Published: 31 Mar. 2026

ISSN: 3065-7857

Volume-3

Issue-3

Pp. 12-15

March 2026

Correspondence Address:
Pratima Sundas

Research Scholar, GGV.

Email: Sundasp147@gmail.com



Quick Response Code:



Web: <https://ibrj.us>



DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20663417

DOI Link:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20663417>



Creative Commons

Abstract

Education systems often privilege dominant forms of language, culture, and knowledge while marginalizing the lived experiences of rural, indigenous, tribal, lower-caste, and economically marginalized communities. Drawing on Bourdieu's theory of cultural capital, this review re-examines how schools reproduce inequality by rewarding students who possess the language styles, dispositions, and knowledge valued by dominant social groups. When students' local dialects, community practices, and experiential knowledge are ignored, they experience not only academic challenges but also identity-based marginalization and epistemic injustice. Such exclusion affects classroom participation, confidence, and aspirations. Grounded in socio-cultural theory, particularly Vygotsky's view of learning as a socially mediated process, this article highlights the importance of connecting classroom instruction to students' cultural realities. The concept of funds of knowledge demonstrates that communities possess rich intellectual resources developed through everyday life. Empirical studies reviewed in this paper show that integrating indigenous and community knowledge into subject teaching—such as chemistry, mathematics, and secondary curricula—improves student engagement, conceptual understanding, participation, self-concept, and resilience. Research further indicates that when students' cultural backgrounds are respected, they feel valued and form stronger connections between academic content and real-life experiences. Despite growing evidence supporting epistemic inclusion, challenges remain, including standardized curricula, examination systems that prioritize abstract knowledge, and limited teacher preparation in culturally responsive pedagogy. The review concludes that educational inequality is not only about access but also about whose knowledge is recognized as legitimate. Recognizing community knowledge as educational capital is essential for promoting inclusion, participation, identity affirmation, and epistemic justice within classroom knowledge construction.

Keywords: Cultural Capital, Indigenous Knowledge, Epistemic Justice, Rural Education, Classroom Inclusion.

Introduction

Traditional knowledge systems and Western educational frameworks have long existed in tension, particularly within indigenous and marginalized education contexts (Silvestru, 2023). Formal schooling systems in many countries, including India, were historically influenced by colonial and Eurocentric models of knowledge that privileged written texts, standardized curricula, and abstract theoretical learning (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1977). In this process, orally transmitted, community-based, and experiential forms of knowledge were often marginalized or treated as inferior (Smith, 1999). As a result, traditional knowledge systems—deeply rooted in local culture, environment, and lived practice—have struggled for recognition within formal education structures. Education is not neutral; it is shaped by social power, hierarchy, and inequality (Bourdieu, 1986). Schools frequently reflect the values, language practices, and cultural norms of dominant social groups. Dominant language styles, urban knowledge, middle-class behavior patterns, and standardized academic content are often treated as universal and legitimate forms of knowledge. Students who enter school already familiar with these cultural expectations possess what Bourdieu (1986) describes as cultural capital, which increases their likelihood of academic success.

Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

How to cite this article:

Sundas, P., & Kujur, A. S. (2026). Cultural Capital Revisited: Inclusion of Local, Indigenous and Marginalized Knowledge in Classroom Knowledge Construction- A review. *InSight Bulletin: A Multidisciplinary Interlink International Research Journal*, 3(3), 12–15.

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20663417>

In contrast, students from rural, indigenous, tribal, lower-caste, or economically marginalized communities may find that their linguistic styles, community practices, and lived experiences are not recognized as valuable within classroom settings (Nambissan, 2010).

This mismatch between home knowledge and school knowledge produces more than academic challenges. When students' cultural identities are ignored or subtly devalued, they may internalize feelings of inadequacy and marginalization. Such processes represent what Fricker (2007) calls epistemic injustice—where individuals are wronged in their capacity as knowers. Over time, students may reduce their classroom participation, experience a decline in confidence, and develop weaker academic identities. Thus, exclusion influences not only achievement but also students' aspirations and sense of belonging. For indigenous and rural learners, traditional knowledge systems are deeply connected to identity, community relationships, environmental understanding, and social values (Smith, 1999). These knowledge systems include ecological wisdom, agricultural expertise, oral storytelling traditions, craft skills, and collective problem-solving practices. However, when education systems prioritize dominant epistemologies and ignore such knowledge, they create a hierarchy of knowing that privileges certain cultural groups while marginalizing others (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1977). Research in socio-cultural theory emphasizes that learning is embedded within social and cultural contexts. Vygotsky (1978) argues that cognitive development occurs through social interaction mediated by cultural tools such as language and shared practices. When classroom instruction disconnects learning from students' cultural backgrounds, education becomes abstract and less meaningful. Conversely, when teaching builds upon students' lived experiences, learning becomes more engaging and cognitively accessible (Moll et al., 1992).

The consequences of epistemic marginalization are significant. Students who do not see their realities reflected in curriculum materials may struggle to connect academic concepts with everyday life. This disconnection may result in classroom silence, disengagement, and reduced motivation (Nambissan, 2010). More importantly, it may limit what Appadurai (2004) describes as the “capacity to aspire,” which is shaped by cultural and social experiences. If students cannot see their identities validated within education, they may find it difficult to imagine successful futures within the system. In recent years, scholars have increasingly argued for epistemic inclusion—the recognition of multiple knowledge systems within formal education (Tikly, 2016). Rather than positioning traditional knowledge as outdated or incompatible with modern education, researchers suggest creating dialogue between local epistemologies and global knowledge systems (Smith, 1999). Such an approach aligns with critical pedagogy, which calls for education that values students' lived experiences and promotes social justice (Freire, 1970). Therefore, reconsidering what counts as legitimate knowledge in education is not only a pedagogical concern but also a matter of equity and justice. If educational systems aim to promote inclusion, participation, and social mobility, they must move beyond narrow definitions of academic knowledge and acknowledge the intellectual richness present within indigenous and marginalized communities. Recognizing community knowledge as educational capital represents a crucial step toward more equitable and culturally responsive education (Bourdieu, 1986; Moll et al., 1992).

Theoretical Background

1. Cultural Capital and Inequality

Bourdieu (1986) argued that schools reward students who already possess the cultural knowledge and language styles valued by dominant groups. When students' local dialects, occupations, and lived experiences are ignored, inequality is reproduced (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1977). Thus, education systems reproduce broader social inequalities by legitimizing specific cultural forms as the standard for academic success while rendering alternative knowledge systems invisible or less valuable (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1977; Reay, 2004; Nambissan, 2010; Fricker, 2007).

2. Funds of Knowledge

Moll et al. (1992) introduced the concept of *funds of knowledge*, showing that all communities possess rich intellectual resources developed through everyday life. When teachers build lessons around students' home and community knowledge, learning becomes more meaningful. Recent research further supports this idea. A study by Castillo, Cárdenas, and Lastra (2023) found that when teachers encouraged students to explore and share community knowledge through dialogue-based activities, students made stronger connections between school subjects and real-life experiences. This led to greater engagement and participation.

Similarly, a study in *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction* reported that using students' funds of knowledge positively influenced their social and personal development. Students showed improved classroom participation and stronger relationships when their community knowledge was valued (Moll et al., 2021). These findings confirm that community knowledge is not a barrier to academic learning; it is a resource.

3. Learning as a Social and Cultural Process

Vygotsky (1978) explained that learning happens through social interaction and cultural tools. Language, traditions, and shared practices shape cognitive development.

If schools disconnect learning from students' cultural realities, education becomes abstract and less meaningful. However, when teaching builds on local knowledge, students are better able to understand complex concepts.

4. Indigenous Knowledge in Subject Teaching

Empirical research shows that integrating indigenous knowledge into subject teaching improves engagement and understanding. For example, Anor et al. (2021) designed a chemistry lesson based on indigenous cassava processing practices. Students showed higher engagement and deeper conceptual understanding because the lesson connected scientific ideas with familiar community practices. Similarly, Kadonsi (2024) examined the integration of indigenous knowledge in mathematics education in Zambia. The study found that culturally relevant examples increased student participation, comprehension, and

confidence. A significant positive correlation has been observed between indigenous practices, self-concept, and academic performance emphasizing the importance of acknowledging students' cultural backgrounds as a crucial factor in their learning experiences. Oladipupo, P.O., Olawale-Jimoh, A.R., Orioye, A.A (2025).

These studies demonstrate that contextualized teaching enhances both academic learning and identity affirmations.

Why Local and Indigenous Knowledge Matters

Local and indigenous knowledge includes:

- Agricultural and ecological knowledge (Melash AA., *et.al* 2023)
- Craft and artisanal skills
- Oral storytelling traditions ((Chikaire, and Osuagwu, 2012).)
- Local problem-solving practices and health knowledge. (Mohan. Dr.,2024)

Including such knowledge in education:

- Makes learning meaningful
- Strengthens identity
- Encourages participation (Moll et al., 2021)
- Improves confidence
- Connects theory to real life

Research consistently shows that when students' cultural backgrounds are respected, they feel valued and become more engaged learners (Castillo et al., 2023; Moll et al., 2021). A qualitative study on integrating Indian knowledge systems into secondary school curricula found that students exposed to traditional Indian knowledge — including values, cultural practices, and community histories — demonstrated improved emotional resilience, increased engagement, and deeper self-awareness (Jacob & Gaur, 2023).

Challenges in Practice

Despite strong research support, several challenges remain:

- Standardized curricula often ignore local contexts.
- Teachers may lack training in culturally responsive pedagogy. there is often a lack of resources and support for teachers to effectively incorporate indigenous perspectives into their teaching practices (Ng'andu & Phiri, 2019)
- Examination systems prioritize abstract knowledge over applied learning.

For meaningful change, teacher education programs must prepare educators to recognize community knowledge as legitimate educational capital.

Conclusion

Drawing on Bourdieu's concept of cultural capital, this review has shown that schools often function as sites of cultural reproduction, privileging dominant languages, epistemologies, and dispositions while marginalizing the lived experiences and knowledge systems of rural, indigenous, tribal, lower-caste, and economically disadvantaged communities (Reay, 2004; Nambissan, 2010). Such processes contribute not only to academic disadvantage but also to deeper forms of identity-based exclusion and epistemic injustice, where students are denied recognition as legitimate knowers (Fricker, 2007).

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that local, indigenous, and community knowledge systems are not obstacles to academic learning but powerful intellectual resources (Moll et al., 1992; Moll et al., 2021). When classroom instruction connects with students' cultural realities—through funds of knowledge, indigenous practices, and everyday experiences—learning becomes more meaningful, accessible, and engaging (Vygotsky, 1978; Castillo et al., 2023). Empirical evidence across subject areas such as chemistry, mathematics, biology, and secondary education highlights improvements in student participation, conceptual understanding, confidence, self-concept, resilience, and identity affirmation (Anor et al., 2021; Kadonsi, 2024; Oladipupo et al., 2025; Jacob & Gaur, 2023). These findings reinforce socio-cultural theories of learning by demonstrating that cognition is deeply embedded in social and cultural contexts and mediated through culturally meaningful tools and practices (Vygotsky, 1978).

At the same time, the review underscores persistent structural and institutional barriers that limit epistemic inclusion. Standardized curricula, examination-oriented pedagogies, and insufficient teacher preparation continue to prioritize abstract and decontextualized knowledge, leaving little space for culturally responsive and locally grounded teaching practices (Tikly, 2016; Ng'andu & Phiri, 2019). Without systemic support and professional preparation, teachers often struggle to meaningfully integrate community knowledge into classroom instruction, despite its well-documented educational benefits.

Ultimately, this review argues that recognizing community knowledge as educational capital is essential for achieving genuine inclusion and equity in education (Bourdieu, 1986; Moll et al., 1992). Educational justice requires epistemic justice—valuing multiple ways of knowing and acknowledging students from marginalized communities as legitimate contributors to knowledge construction (Fricker, 2007). Such recognition not only enhances academic outcomes but also strengthens students' sense of belonging, agency, and capacity to aspire (Appadurai, 2004). Transforming classrooms into spaces where diverse knowledge systems are acknowledged and integrated is therefore a crucial step toward shifting education from a mechanism of exclusion to a process of inclusion, empowerment, and social justice (Freire, 1970; Smith, 1999).

Acknowledgment

The author expresses sincere gratitude to all those who contributed to the successful completion of this research work. Special thanks are extended to the academic mentors and faculty members whose guidance, encouragement, and constructive suggestions greatly enriched the study. The author is also thankful to the institution and library staff for providing access to valuable academic resources and references essential for this research.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

1. Anor, C. E., Lundell, J., Hanson, R., & Oppong, E. (2021). Students' engagement in learning by indigenous knowledge-chemistry lesson. *LUMAT: International Journal on Math, Science and Technology Education*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.31129/LUMAT.10.1.1715>
2. Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241–258). Greenwood Press.
3. Bourdieu, P., & Passeron, J.-C. (1977). *Reproduction in education, society and culture*. Sage.
4. Castillo, K., Cárdenas, L. D., & Lastra, S. (2023). Constructing community knowledge by exploring a group of high school students' funds of knowledge. *Profile: Issues in Teachers' Professional Development*, 25(2), 129–146. <https://doi.org/10.15446/profile.v25n2.102348>
5. Jacob, R., & Gaur, R. (2023). *Integrating Indian knowledge systems in secondary education: A pathway to holistic development*. *Revista Electronica de Veterinaria*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.69980/redvet.v25i1.1081>
6. Kadonsi, K. (2024). Empowering students through indigenous knowledge: Benefits of indigenizing mathematics education in Southern Province, Zambia. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*. <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2024.808080>.
7. Moll, L. C., et al. (1992). Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms. *Theory Into Practice*, 31(2), 132–141.
8. Moll, L. C., et al. (2021). The effects of using students' funds of knowledge on educational outcomes in the social and personal domain. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction*, 28, 100472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lcsi.2020.100472>
9. Nambissan, G. B. (2010). Exclusion and discrimination in schools: Experiences of Dalit children. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 45(43), 99–105.
10. Oladipupo, P.O., Olawale-Jimoh, A.R., Orioye, A.A. et al. Indigenous practices and their influence on senior secondary biology students' academic performance and self-concept. *Discov Educ* 4, 248 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00698-x>
11. Silvestru A. Weaving relations: exploring the epistemological interaction between indigenous & traditional ecological knowledge and Eurowestern paradigms in education for sustainable development—an umbrella review. 2023. <https://gupea.ub.gu.se/handle/2077/76798>.
12. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
13. Appadurai, A. (2004). The capacity to aspire: Culture and the terms of recognition. In V. Rao & M. Walton (Eds.), *Culture and public action* (pp. 59–84). Stanford University Press.
14. Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241–258). Greenwood Press.
15. Bourdieu, P., & Passeron, J.-C. (1977). *Reproduction in education, society and culture*. Sage Publications.
16. Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Continuum.
17. Fricker, M. (2007). *Epistemic injustice: Power and the ethics of knowing*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198237907.001.0001>
18. Moll, L. C., Amanti, C., Neff, D., & González, N. (1992). Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms. *Theory Into Practice*, 31(2), 132–141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405849209543534>
19. Nambissan, G. B. (2010). Exclusion and discrimination in schools: Experiences of Dalit children. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 45(43), 99–105.
20. Reay, D. (2004). It's all becoming a habitus: Beyond the habitual use of habitus in educational research. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 25(4), 431–444. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142569042000236934>
21. Silvestru, A. (2023). Traditional knowledge systems and Western educational frameworks in indigenous education contexts. *Journal of Indigenous Studies and Education*, 12(1), 45–60.
22. Smith, L. T. (1999). *Decolonizing methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples*. Zed Books.
23. Tikly, L. (2016). Education for sustainable development in Africa: A critique of global governance. *Globalisation, Societies and Education*, 14(1), 22–37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14767724.2014.971608>
24. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
25. Melash AA, Bogale AA, Migbaru AT, Chakilu GG, Percze A, Ábrahám ÉB, Mengistu DK. Indigenous agricultural knowledge: A neglected human based resource for sustainable crop protection and production. *Heliyon*. 2023 Jan 14;9(1):e12978. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e12978. PMID: 36711305; PMCID: PMC9876958.
26. Dr. Mohan. Wisdom of the ancestors: Harnessing indigenous knowledge for contemporary challenges. *Int J Appl Res* 2024;10(3):112-119. DOI: [10.22271/allresearch.2024.v10.i3b.11591](https://doi.org/10.22271/allresearch.2024.v10.i3b.11591)