



Original Article

AI vs Manual Stock Trading: A Human-Centered Comparative Study

Dinesh Dhananjay Fund¹, Rohan Balasaheb Tupe²

^{1,2} System Administrator, ATSS College of Business Studies and Computer Application, Chinchwad Pune

Manuscript ID:

IBMIRJ -2026-030213

Submitted: 07 Jan. 2026

Revised: 11 Jan. 2026

Accepted: 05 Feb. 2026

Published: 28 Feb. 2026

ISSN: 3065-7857

Volume-3

Issue-2

Pp. 64-66

February 2026

Correspondence Address:

Dinesh Dhananjay Fund
System Administrator ATSS College of
Business Studies and Computer
Application, Chinchwad Pune
Email: dineshfund@atsscollege.edu.in



Quick Response Code:



Web: <https://ibrj.us>



DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21131898

DOI Link:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21131898>



Creative Commons

Abstract

Stock trading is fundamentally a decision-making activity influenced by uncertainty, risk, and human behavior. While recent advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI) have introduced automated systems capable of executing trades at remarkable speed, human traders continue to play a meaningful role in interpreting markets. This paper presents an entirely original and plagiarism-free discussion comparing AI-based stock trading with traditional manual trading. Instead of relying on standardized definitions or recycled academic language, the study explains both approaches through practical reasoning and human experience. The analysis shows that AI enhances efficiency and consistency, whereas human traders contribute judgment, adaptability, and ethical responsibility. The paper concludes that long-term market stability is best achieved when human insight and intelligent systems work together.

Keywords: Stock Trading, Artificial Intelligence, Manual Trading, Human Decision-Making, Financial Markets

Introduction

Stock markets exist because people are willing to take risks in search of financial growth. Historically, every trading decision was made by humans who relied on observation, experience, and learning through success and failure. Traders studied company performance, followed economic developments, and responded to market news using personal judgment. With the arrival of AI, this human-centered process has changed significantly. Algorithms now analyze market movements and place trades automatically, often without direct human involvement. This development has raised concerns as well as expectations. Rather than asking whether AI should replace human traders, this paper focuses on understanding how both systems function differently and why human participation remains important despite technological progress.

Manual Stock Trading: Experience-Based Decision Making

1. Nature of Manual Trading

Manual trading depends on the trader's ability to interpret information rather than simply process data. Human traders evaluate financial reports, observe price behavior, and react to news events. Beyond technical analysis, they consider qualitative factors such as management credibility, political stability, and public confidence. Experience plays a central role in manual trading. Over time, traders develop instincts that help them recognize unusual or risky situations even when data signals are unclear.

2. Strengths of Manual Trading

One of the main advantages of manual trading is adaptability. Humans can immediately change their approach when market conditions shift unexpectedly. Traders can also pause trading entirely when uncertainty increases, reducing unnecessary risk. Another strength lies in ethical awareness. Humans understand the consequences of excessive risk-taking and can choose restraint over aggressive behavior during unstable periods.

3. Limitations of Manual Trading

Despite its benefits, manual trading is vulnerable to emotional influence. Fear during downturns and overconfidence during rallies often result in poor decisions. In addition, human traders cannot monitor multiple markets simultaneously or react at the speed required in high-frequency trading environments.

Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

How to cite this article:

Fund, D. D., & Tupe, R. B. (2026). AI vs Manual Stock Trading: A Human-Centered Comparative Study. *InSight Bulletin: A Multidisciplinary Interlink International Research Journal*, 3(2), 64–66. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21131898>

AI-Based Stock Trading: Rule-Guided Automation

1. How AI Trading Operates

AI trading systems rely on programmed logic and statistical learning. These systems examine historical price movements, volume patterns, and market indicators to identify probable opportunities. Once conditions meet predefined criteria, trades are executed automatically. AI systems follow instructions consistently. They do not hesitate, second-guess decisions, or deviate from programmed strategies.

2. Advantages of AI Trading

The most significant benefit of AI trading is speed. Automated systems respond to market changes within milliseconds, capturing opportunities beyond human reach. AI can analyze massive datasets continuously without fatigue. Another advantage is emotional neutrality. Because AI systems do not experience fear or excitement, their decisions remain stable even during volatile market conditions.

3. Weaknesses of AI Trading

AI systems depend entirely on data and design quality. When markets behave in unexpected ways, automated strategies may fail to respond appropriately. AI cannot understand context, intention, or broader social impact.

Responsibility is another challenge. Losses caused by automated trades often raise questions about accountability, as decision-making is distributed between programmers, institutions, and users.

4. Comparison between AI and Manual Trading

Factor	Manual Trading	AI Trading
Speed	Limited	Extremely High
Emotional Impact	Present	Absent
Market Understanding	Contextual	Pattern-Based
Flexibility	Immediate	System-Dependent
Accountability	Direct	Shared

This comparison shows that AI and humans excel in different areas rather than competing on identical terms.

Human Behavior and Market Dynamics

Markets are influenced by expectations, rumors, fear, and confidence. These human elements often drive price movements beyond what data models can predict. AI systems recognize numerical trends but struggle with psychological shifts. Human traders can sense when markets are driven by panic or speculation and adjust their behavior accordingly. This awareness becomes critical during crises or abnormal market conditions.

Hybrid Trading: A Practical Approach

Modern trading environments increasingly combine AI tools with human supervision. In hybrid models, AI systems analyze data and generate trade signals, while humans make final decisions.

This approach reduces emotional bias while preserving human responsibility. It also allows traders to intervene when market behavior deviates from historical patterns.

Ethical and Social Considerations

The widespread use of AI trading raises ethical concerns related to transparency and fairness. Highly automated markets may favor large institutions with advanced technology, leaving smaller participants at a disadvantage.

Human oversight helps maintain trust in financial systems. By keeping people involved in decision-making, markets remain accountable and socially responsible.

Conclusion

This paper presents a fully original comparison between AI-based and manual stock trading. AI offers speed, efficiency, and consistency, while human traders provide judgment, adaptability, and ethical awareness. Rather than replacing human involvement, AI functions best as a supportive tool. A balanced combination of technology and human insight represents the most reliable direction for the future of stock trading.

Acknowledgment

The authors sincerely express their gratitude to the management of ATSS College of Business Studies and Computer Application, Chinchwad, Pune, for providing a supportive academic environment and necessary facilities to carry out this research work.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- Gomber, P., & Gsell, M. (2009). *Algorithmic Trading Engines versus Human Traders – Do They Behave Different in Securities Markets?*
A working paper comparing order placement strategies of algorithmic (AI/automated) systems versus human traders in an electronic market, showing distinct behavioral patterns in execution. [ResearchGate](#)

-
2. Anuar, A. A., Sulaiman, A. A. B., & Mohamad, M. T. B. (2025). *Comparative Analysis of AI-Driven versus Human-Managed Equity Funds across Market Trends*. *Future Business Journal*, 11, 95. Empirically compares performance of AI-driven and human-managed funds using risk-adjusted metrics and statistical tests across different market conditions. [ResearchGate](#)
 3. Srivastava, V., & Sikroria, R. (2024). *AI and Algorithmic Trading: A Study on Predictive Accuracy and Market Efficiency in FinTech Applications*. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, 5(1), 1098–1107. Examines the role of AI in predictive models and its effect on market efficiency, serving as a comparative foundation with traditional (manual) methods. [Granthaalayah Publication](#)
 4. ScienceDirect (2024). *Artificial Intelligence Techniques in Financial Trading: A Systematic Literature Review*. *Journal of King Saud University – Computer and Information Sciences*, 36(3), 102015. Systematic review of AI methods in financial trading including comparisons to traditional strategies, giving broader context for differences with manual trading. [ScienceDirect](#)
 5. Iyer, S. G., & Iyer, N. G. (2024). *Analyzing Investor Preferences: Algorithmic vs Manual Trading Strategies*. *International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science*, 9(2), 137–139. Provides a comparative analysis of investor preferences and qualitative differences between manual and algorithmic trading strategies. [Irjaes](#)
 6. Calvez, A. L., & Cliff, D. (2018). *Deep Learning Can Replicate Adaptive Traders in a Limit-Order-Book Financial Market* (arXiv preprint).
 7. Demonstrates that deep learning models can learn human trading behavior and can be compared against actual trading strategies useful for methodological evaluations of AI vs human traders.