InSight Bulletin: A Multidisciplinary Interlink International Research Journal
Peer Reviewed International, Open Access Journal.
ISSN : 3065-7857 / Website: https://ibrj.us / Volume-3, Issue-1 / January - 2026

Original Article

Graph-Theoretic Approaches to Targeted Marketing Strategies in Social Networks

Shraddha Samant
Asst. Professor, ATSS – College of Business Studies and Computer Application

Manuscript ID: IBMIIRJ -2026-030134

Submitted: 09 Dec. 2025

Revised: 13 Dec. 2025

Accepted: 08 Jan. 2026

Published: 31 Jan. 2026

ISSN: 3065-7857

Volume-3

Issue-1

Pp. 178-181

January 2026

Correspondence Address:
Shraddha Samant
Asst. Professor, ATSS – College of Business Studies and Computer Application
Email: shraddhasamant.cbsca@gmail.com

OPEN ACCESS

Quick Response Code:

Web. https://ibrj.us

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18954976

DOI Link: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18954976

Creative Commons

Abstract

The rapid expansion of large-scale social networking platforms has significantly reshaped modern marketing practices, shifting the focus from broad-based advertising toward precisely targeted promotional strategies. A key challenge in targeted marketing lies in identifying individuals capable of exerting substantial influence on information diffusion and consumer decision-making. Since social networks fundamentally consist of relational interactions, graph theory provides a natural and mathematically rigorous framework for their analysis.

In this study, social networks are represented as graphs, where users correspond to vertices and social interactions are modeled as edges. Classical graph-theoretic metrics—including degree centrality, betweenness centrality, clustering coefficient, and eigenvector centrality—are employed to examine structural influence patterns relevant to marketing applications. A systematic graph-based analytical framework is proposed and demonstrated through two illustrative graph models. The analysis reveals that distinct centrality measures highlight different categories of influential users, and reliance on a single metric may result in ineffective targeting decisions. The findings emphasize the applicability of graph theory in applied mathematics and underscore its relevance for interdisciplinary research involving social and economic networks.

Keywords: *Graph Theory, Social Network Analysis, Targeted Marketing, Centrality Measures, Eigenvector Centrality*

Introduction

The proliferation of digital communication technologies has radically changed the field of marketing and advertising. These days, social networking sites like Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, and LinkedIn serve as the main venues for the exchange of information, the formation of opinions, and the influence of purchasing decisions. As a result, traditional mass advertising has given way to marketing methods that emphasize audience-specific engagement and personalization (Kotler et al., 2017).

Targeted marketing seeks to identify individuals or groups whose interaction with promotional content produces maximal influence throughout a network. However, social networks exhibit complex structural properties, including heterogeneous connectivity, non-linear interactions, and pronounced community organization (Newman, 2018). Conventional statistical techniques, which typically treat individuals as independent entities, are insufficient for capturing these relational characteristics (Wasserman & Faust, 1994).

Graph theory, a foundational area of discrete mathematics, offers a powerful framework for modeling and analyzing such interconnected systems. By representing users as vertices and social interactions as edges, graph-theoretic models enable rigorous examination of connectivity, influence, and structural importance (Diestel, 2017). This paper explores the application of graph-theoretic methods to targeted marketing, emphasizing mathematical clarity and interpretability within real-world contexts.

Literature Review and Motivation

Prior research in social network analysis has consistently demonstrated that influence propagation is unevenly distributed across networks (Barabási, 2016). Early theoretical work highlighted phenomena such as the “six degrees of separation,” while subsequent studies emphasized the importance of hubs, bridges, and cohesive communities in information diffusion (Watts & Strogatz, 1998; Milgram, 1967). In marketing research, influencer-based strategies have gained prominence; however, practical implementations often rely on simplistic indicators such as follower counts or engagement metrics. From a mathematical standpoint, these approaches overlook deeper structural properties of networks. Graph-theoretic measures such as betweenness centrality and eigenvector centrality provide a more nuanced understanding of influence by incorporating both local connectivity and global structure (Freeman, 1979; Bonacich, 1987).

Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)
This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations ae licensed under the idential terms.

How to cite this article:
Samant, S. (2026). Graph-Theoretic Approaches to Targeted Marketing Strategies in Social Networks. InSight Bulletin: A Multidisciplinary Interlink International Research Journal, 3(1), 178–181. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18954976

The motivation of this research is to present a mathematically grounded framework that integrates graph theory with targeted marketing, thereby addressing limitations inherent in degree-based heuristics (Easley & Kleinberg, 2010).

Objectives of the Study

The primary objectives of this study are as follows:

1. To represent social networks using formal graph-theoretic models.
2. To explain centrality measures relevant to marketing influence from a mathematical perspective.
3. To demonstrate how different structural metrics identify distinct categories of target customers.
4. To illustrate graph-based analysis through interpretable examples.
5. To establish graph theory as an effective tool in applied and interdisciplinary research.

Mathematical Framework and Graph-Theoretic Foundations

A social network is considered as a graph G= (V, E), where the vertex set V represents users and the edge set E represents social interactions such as communication or content sharing. Throughout this study, graphs are assumed to be finite, simple, undirected, and unweighted to maintain conceptual clarity and analytical tractability.

The structural relationships within the graph are encoded using the adjacency matrix

$A=(b_{ij})_{n\times n}$

where $b_{ij} = 1$ if vertices v_i and v_j are adjacent,

$= 0$ otherwise

Many centrality measures can be expressed algebraically through this matrix, linking graph theory with linear algebra and spectral analysis (Cvetković et al., 2010).

Methodology: A Graph-Based Targeted Marketing Framework

This research adopts a conceptual and analytical methodology grounded in classical graph theory. The framework consists of the following stages:

1. **Network Abstraction**

Real-world social networks are abstracted into mathematical graphs by focusing on relational structure rather than platform-specific interaction metrics. This abstraction ensures general applicability across different digital environments (Easley & Kleinberg, 2010).

2. **Selection of Structural Metrics**

Multiple centrality measures are selected to capture different aspects of influence (Freeman, 1979):

- Degree centrality reflects immediate visibility.
- Betweenness centrality identifies structural intermediaries.
- Clustering coefficient reveals cohesive subgroups (Watts & Strogatz, 1998).
- Eigenvector centrality captures global influence and credibility (Bonacich, 1987).

3. **Analytical Evaluation**

Centrality measures are evaluated using graph-theoretic definitions and matrix representations. The analysis emphasizes relative rankings rather than absolute values, which is sufficient for strategic marketing decisions.

4. **Interpretative Mapping**

Mathematical results are translated into marketing roles, classifying vertices as visibility hubs, cross-community connectors, or influential endorsers (Brown & Hayes, 2008).

Summary Table: Graph-Theoretic Measures and Their Marketing Interpretation

Graph Concept	Mathematical Meaning	Structural Insight	Targeted Marketing Significance
Graph Model	Vertices and edges represent users and interactions	Captures relational structure	Formal representation of social networks
Degree Centrality	Number of direct connections	Local activity level	High visibility users
Betweenness Centrality	Frequency on shortest paths	Bridge between communities	Cross-community promotion
Clustering Coefficient	Density among neighbors	Community formation	Group-based targeting
Eigenvector Centrality	Influence of influential neighbors	Global importance	Credible influencers and brand ambassadors

Centrality Measures and Their Marketing Significance

1. **Degree Centrality**

For a vertex $v \in V$, degree centrality is defined as

$C_D(v)=\deg(v)/(n-1)$

This local measure captures the number of immediate connections and corresponds to short-term exposure potential in marketing contexts.

2. **Betweenness Centrality**

Betweenness centrality is given by

$C\_B(v) = \sum (\sigma\_st(v) / \sigma\_st)$, for all $s \neq v \neq t$

where,

$C\_B(v)$ = betweenness centrality of vertex v

σ_st = total number of shortest paths from vertex s to vertex t

$\sigma\_st(v)$ = number of those shortest paths that pass-through vertex v

Vertices with high betweenness centrality control information flow across different parts of the network (Brandes, 2001).

3. **Clustering Coefficient**

The local clustering coefficient of a vertex v is defined as:

$C(v) = (2 * e_v) / (k_v * (k_v - 1))$

where

$C(v)$ = clustering coefficient of vertex v

k_v = degree of vertex v

e_v = number of edges between the neighbors of v

High clustering indicates strong community structure, suggesting reinforcement effects in group-based marketing (Watts & Strogatz, 1998).

4. **Eigenvector Centrality**

Eigenvector centrality of a vertex v is defined as:

$C(v) = (1/\lambda) \sum C(u)$, for all $u \in N(v)$

Where,

$C(v)$ = eigenvector centrality of vertex v

λ = largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix

$N(v)$ = set of neighbors of v

Matrix form

$A\,x = \lambda\,x$

Where,

A = adjacency matrix of the graph and x = eigenvector centrality vector

This measure assigns higher importance to vertices connected to other influential vertices, making it particularly suitable for identifying credible influencers (Bonacich, 1987).

Graph Examples and Centrality Analysis

1. **Graph G_1: Community–Bridge Structure**

Let

V1= {A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H}

E1= {(A, B), (A, C), (B, C), (B, D), (C, D), (D, E), (E, F), (E, G), (F, G), (G, H)}

Set of vertices {A, B, C} and {E, F, G, H} act as two strong local clusters. Edge DE serves as bridge, between these communities. Vertex D connects cluster {A, B, C} while Vertex E serves as primary gateway to another cluster {E, F, G, H}.

Key observations:

- Vertices B, C, D, E, G exhibit the highest degree.
- Vertices D and E possess high betweenness centrality as they lie on most inter-community shortest paths.
- Clustering coefficients are high within clusters and low at bridge vertices.
- Eigenvector centrality is maximized at $B \approx C \approx D$

Marketing interpretation:

- Vertex A is suitable for rapid exposure campaigns.
- Vertices D and E are optimal for cross-community dissemination.
- Cluster-level targeting enhances reinforcement effects.

2. **Graph G_2: Degree–Eigenvector Contrast**

Let

V2= {A, B, C, D, E, F, G}

E2= {(A, B), (A, C), (A, D), (A, E), (B, C), (C, D), (D, B), (E, F), (F, G)}

Vertex A is directly connected to several other vertices, indicating a high level of immediate connectivity. In contrast, vertices B, C, and D form a strongly interconnected substructure in which each vertex reinforces the influence of the others through reciprocal connections.

Key observations:

- Vertex **A** has the maximum number of direct connections, indicating the highest local connectivity in the network.
- Eigenvector centrality shows that vertices B, C, and D form a tightly interconnected group, creating a mutually reinforcing structure.
- Peripheral vertices E, F, G show low influence across measures.

Marketing interpretation:

- Vertex A is well suited for broad-reach promotional initiatives due to its extensive direct connections.
- Vertices B, C, D are ideal for influencer-driven strategies.
- High degree does not necessarily imply high structural influence.

Comparative Marketing Insights

The two examples collectively demonstrate that influence is multi-dimensional. Structural position, rather than raw connectivity, determines marketing effectiveness. A multi-metric approach is therefore essential for optimal targeting (Easley & Kleinberg, 2010).

Discussion

From an applied mathematics perspective, the study highlights how abstract graph invariants can be translated into actionable marketing strategies. The contrast between degree-based visibility and eigenvector-based influence underscores the importance of structural analysis in complex networks.

Limitations and Future Scope

The study assumes static, unweighted graphs and simplified interaction models. Future research may extend this framework to dynamic networks, weighted edges, stochastic diffusion processes, and spectral graph-theoretic analysis (Barabási, 2016).

Conclusion

This research demonstrates that graph theory provides a robust and mathematically sound framework for designing targeted marketing strategies in social networks. Through theoretical reasoning and illustrative examples, it is shown that highly connected users are not always the most influential. Effective marketing requires identifying structurally important users using eigenvector and betweenness centrality in addition to degree-based measures.

Acknowledgement
I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who supported and guided me in the successful completion of this project titled "Graph-Theoretic Approaches to Targeted Marketing Strategies in Social Networks."
First and foremost, I am deeply thankful to my project guide/mentor for their invaluable guidance, insightful suggestions, and continuous encouragement throughout the research process. Their expertise in graph theory and network analysis greatly enriched my understanding of how mathematical models can be applied to real-world marketing strategies.

Financial support and sponsorship
Nil.

Conflicts of interest
The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References:

1. Barabási, A.-L. (2016). *Network science*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Bonacich, P. (1987). Power and centrality: A family of measures. *American Journal of Sociology, 92*(5), 1170–1182.
3. Brandes, U. (2001). A faster algorithm for betweenness centrality. *Journal of Mathematical Sociology, 25*(2), 163–177.
4. Brown, D., & Hayes, N. (2008). *Influencer marketing*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
5. Cvetković, D., Doob, M., & Sachs, H. (2010). *Spectra of graphs*. Academic Press.
6. Diestel, R. (2017). *Graph theory* (5th ed.). Springer.
7. Easley, D., & Kleinberg, J. (2010). *Networks, crowds, and markets*. Cambridge University Press.
8. Freeman, L. C. (1979). Centrality in social networks: Conceptual clarification. *Social Networks, 1*(3), 215–239.
9. Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! *Business Horizons, 53*(1), 59–68.
10. Kotler, P., Kartajaya, H., & Setiawan, I. (2017). *Marketing 4.0*. Wiley.
11. Milgram, S. (1967). The small-world problem. *Psychology Today, 2*(1), 60–67.
12. Newman, M. E. J. (2018). *Networks: An introduction*. Oxford University Press.
13. Wasserman, S., & Faust, K. (1994). *Social network analysis*. Cambridge University Press.
14. Watts, D. J., & Strogatz, S. H. (1998). Collective dynamics of small-world networks. *Nature, 393*, 440–442.