



Original Article

Ladakh Border Dispute Strategic Implications of China’s Renewed Claims along the Line of Actual Control

Dr. Saima Ishaq¹, Dr. Sona Shukla²

¹Former Research Scholar Barkatullah University Bhopal (M.P)

²Prof. and Head, Department of Political Science,

PM College of excellence Govt Hamidia College Bhopal (M.P)

<p>Manuscript ID: IBMIRJ -2025-021218</p> <p>Submitted: 10 Nov. 2025</p> <p>Revised: 15 Nov. 2025</p> <p>Accepted: 20 Dec. 2025</p> <p>Published: 31 Dec. 2025</p> <p>ISSN: 3065-7857</p> <p>Volume-2</p> <p>Issue-12</p> <p>Pp. 80-83</p> <p>December 2025</p> <p>Correspondence Address: Dr. Saima Ishaq Former Research Scholar Barkatullah University Bhopal (M.P). Email: saimaakhtar909@gmail.com</p> <p></p> <p>Quick Response Code:  Web: https://ibrj.us</p> <p></p> <p>DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18540868</p> <p>DOI Link: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18540868</p> <p> Creative Commons</p>	<p>Abstract</p> <p><i>The issue of the Ladakh border has acquired a new significance because of the recent territorial claim by China, as well as its persistent occupancy of the Line of Actual Control. These events have also drastically transformed the strategic landscape in the eastern Ladakh and have caused major concerns to the national security and stability of the region in India. This study explores the strategic consequences of such changes particularly in relation to military readiness, border control, and foreign policy. The research reviews military, geopolitical, and diplomatic aspects of the conflict relying on the latest policy declarations, official reports, and strategic evaluations. It notes that strategic competition has heightened due to greater troop formations, enhancement of infrastructures and forward military posture by both parties. The further uncertainties around the Line of Actual Control have already made the process of disengagement more complex and raised the prospects of escalation at the local level. On the security front, the resurgent claims by the Chinese have compelled India to increase surveillance, logistics and force preparedness in Ladakh. The better road systems, air connectivity and forward bases are indicative of the fact that deterrence is rather long term than short term crisis management. On the diplomatic front, the conflict has worsened bilateral relations and caused distrust toward the available confidence building processes. The dialogue structures are still alive but already have made less development with regard to long term resolution.</i></p> <p>Keywords: Ladakh border dispute, Line of Actual Control, China India relations, border security, strategic stability</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>The Ladakh territory has turned out to be a hot spot in India China relations because of its strategic location and status as an unresolved boundary. A clear-cut boundary never existed and this fact has posed a lot of uncertainty along the Line of Actual Control. This uncertainty has led to frequent confrontations, face offs in patrols and the perception of who controls the territory. Ladakh links strategic centers in China, Pakistan and Central Asia. Power and domination in this area has a direct influence on national security decisions by India. The strategic environment in eastern Ladakh since 2020 has undergone some changes. Border standoff, massive troop movements, and accelerated construction of infrastructures have substituted the previous trends of minimal involvement. India and China have improved road systems, airfields and logistic infrastructure near the Line of Actual Control. These inventions have enhanced mobility of the military and the speed of response. Consequently, the region has experienced permanent militarization and not short-term crisis motivated deployments. The latest claims by China about its territories have brought out a different dimension in the dispute. These assertions question the perception of the Indian state of sovereignty and bring up concerns about long term intentions in the border. The focus on the historical accounts and the tactical nuances implies that the conflict is not merely concerned with some changes in the territories. It indicates larger-scale competition of the strategy between two upcoming powers in Asia. In the case of India, such assertions pose significant implications on the deterrence credibility and the capacity to ensure a stable balance of power in the region. Limitation in the current border management mechanisms have also been revealed by the changing situation in Ladakh.</p> <p>Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) <i>This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.</i></p> <p>How to cite this article: Ishaq, S., & Shukla, S. (2025). Ladakh Border Dispute Strategic Implications of China’s Renewed Claims along the Line of Actual Control. <i>InSight Bulletin: A Multidisciplinary Interlink International Research Journal</i>, 2(12), 80–83. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18540868</p>
---	--

The military level talks and confidence building agreements have contributed towards avoiding the escalation towards open conflict. Nevertheless, they have not touched on the underlying causes of tension. Variations in the definition of the Line of Actual Control still result in tension. This has minimized the level of trust and predisposed the offense of miscalculation in the normal military operations. Strategically, Ladakh is now the focus in strategic planning by India. It is now in need of a long term military presence, intelligence collection and a logistical support. The response of India has been to intensify the infrastructure, better the surveillance and to increase the coordination between the civilian and military authorities. Such steps are meant to bring preparedness without overburdening unnecessarily. The consequences of the border conflict at Ladakh go beyond bilateral relations. Constant tension affects the stability in the region and influences the interactions of India with other countries. The scenario is also overlapped by the greater geopolitical processes in the Indo Pacific area. Consequently, the Ladakh problem has become one of the determinants of India in its foreign and security policy decisions.

This study will seek to examine the implications of renewed territorial claims by China on the strategic stance of India and its stability at the border. It attempts to discuss the military, diplomatic, and geopolitical effects of such developments. In this way, the paper will help shed light on how the Ladakh border dispute is changing and why it is a matter of concern in terms of security in the region.

Strategic importance of Ladakh

Ladakh has a unique strategic value to India due to its geographical location of being at the border of China, Pakistan, and Central Asia. The region is neighboring to China Xinjiang and Tibet Autonomous Region, and the areas occupied by Pakistan. It is here that, Ladakh is one of the front lines in controlling two running and interrelated issues of security. Any change of hand or influence in this region has direct impact in the wider defense posture in India. Ladakh terrain is a source of a number of high altitudes, valleys, and communication routes which are important during military operations. The possibility of movement of troops, transport supplies and surveillance along the Line of Actual Control depends on the control over these routes. Such places as the Depsang Plains, Pangong Tso, and the Galwan Valley are tactically vital due to their impact on forward station and viewing points. Supremacy in these areas augments the awareness of the situation and restricts the movement of adversaries.

The strategic balance has greatly changed due to the development of Chinese infrastructure along the line of actual control. Increase in road, bridges, airstrips, and logistical centers has enhanced the capacity of China to swiftly move its forces as well as maintain them over a long duration. Improved communications between the inland territories and forward locations have shortened response time and added flexibility of operation. This is an infrastructure strength that will enable China to exert pressure on various points of friction simultaneously.

Such developments have forced India to rethink the way it manages its borders in Ladakh. India has been on a rapid pace of building all weather roads, tunnels and bridges to have a connection throughout the year. The better air bases and enhanced landing grounds have enhanced logistic and troop rotation. Improved surveillance systems and intelligence will mean keeping an eye on the movement and preclude surprise deployments. Ladakh is also an important part of deterrence and signaling. The presence of a credible military in the region will also assure territorial integrity and leverage the bargaining power of India in the diplomatic processes. Meanwhile, extended deployment puts both parties to economic and logistical costs, raising the stakes of extended conflict.

In addition to bilateral factors, the geopolitics of Ladakh have strategic implications in the region. The region is influencing India in Calculating its security with Pakistan and its reaction to more general connectivity plans in the region led by China. This has made Ladakh no longer a marginal boundary region. It has found its way into the national security policy of India and its long term defense planning.

Military and security implications

Re-energized Chinese claims on the Line of Actual Control have led to long term and massive troop concentrations between India and China. They are not patrolling at seasonal levels like the past but they are deployed on the frontline on long periods. This constant military presence has enhanced operation preparedness but has also heightened the escalation risk following small events like patrol confrontations or infrastructure action. The close position of troops and severity of conditions coupled with the inability to respond fast makes a situation under which miscalculation can easily escalate tensions. Creation of buffer zones and several disruptive military degree disengagement negotiation talks have decreased friction at the few points of directness. These actions have served to avert bloody conflicts and bring a strained level of stability in certain areas. Not all friction points have however been disengaged. Lack of mutual trust and perception of the Line of Actual Control still spoils the confidence building process. Both sides maintain a security dilemma through surveillance, patrolling and the development of infrastructure.

India has retaliated through enhancing its military stance in Ladakh. Logistic investment has enhanced the logistical sustenance of troops in high altitude. Growths in air bases and improved landing grounds has improved the provisions of rapid deployment and evacuation. Better accessibility via the roads coupled with the construction of tunnels has minimized the need to use access roads seasonally. These means are an indication of transitioning to long term preparedness instead of a short term response to crisis.

Diplomatic and geopolitical impact

The Ladakh controversy has put continued pressure on the general India China relations and it has transformed the diplomatic interactions between the two nations. Despite the fact that several diplomatic and military dialogue systems are still in existence such as corps commander level and foreign ministry consultations, they have been mainly crisis management tools and not conflict management systems. These forums have served to keep escalation at bay but have been unable to come up with a

common understanding on the Line of Actual Control or a roadmap towards long term stability. Consequently, the bilateral relationship is being determined by border management.

Each has reduced compromise options in political space due to mutual distrust. The vision of the strategic intent has become entrenched and compromising is no longer a cheap political game. In the case of India, keeping of peace and tranquility along the border has turned out to be a prerequisite to normalization of other wide-ranging relations. This has restricted development of trade, people to people interactions and high scale political interactions. The diplomatic relations are now under the umbrella of unresolved border tensions, which makes any flexibility and trust in bilateral projects less likely.

India has also been shaped in its external diplomatic approach due to the Ladakh dispute. India has intensified strategic cooperation with partners in the Indo pacific region in terms of defense coordination, intelligence sharing and also through joint military exercises. Such interactions are to reinforce deterrence, freedom of navigation, and stability in the region. Although India claims to limit its strategic power through such partnerships under claims of being issue based and non-aligned, China views them as an attempt to limit its capabilities. To China, India is increasingly becoming sensitive to the balance of power politics and encirclement due to its growing relationship with similarly thinking countries. This image enhances the intensity of strategic rivalry and lowers the motives of accommodation further along the border. As such, the Ladakh problem is now entrenched in the larger geopolitical disputes in Asia.

The conflict has since gone past being a bilateral issue of territory. It represents competing perspectives of regional order, projection of power and influence. The events of Ladakh influence not only the balance of power between India and China in the Indo Pacific, but also influence the long term strategic decisions of the two countries.

Impact on regional stability

The presence of continuous tensions in Ladakh has far reaching consequences in stability in South Asia. The border issue that has not been resolved makes India and China hard to cooperate during regional and global forums. The coordination of regional connections, climate change, and economic governance is hindered by mutual suspicion. This strain is usually manifested in multilateral arenas in which both nations are involved making them less effective and trust based.

Ladakh case also has an impact on Indian security calculations with regard to Pakistan. The strategic overlapping in Ladakh with Pakistan occupied territories is caused by the fact that the country is geographically close to Pakistan. This is influenced by the fact that India plans its defense, due to the possibility of a coordinated pressure or strategic convergence between China and Pakistan. This trend enhances the significance of Ladakh in a wider regional security context not considering it a bilateral problem.

The process of long term militarization of Ladakh exerts long term stress on the national resources. The constant presence of troops in the high altitude area demands large scale investments in the logistics, infrastructure and maintenance. Heightened military expenditure can constrain fiscal room in social and economic advancement especially in the border areas. The priorities of development are under the threat of taking second place to security.

To the indigenous people, the long-term unpredictability and insecurity becomes strengthened. Movement or environmental pressures due to infrastructure projects, as well as diminished livelihoods and social stability due to the restricted movement and activity of civilians, have an impact. There is limited interaction across borders and constant armed presence, which inhibits economic opportunities in an already harsh climatic region.

On the regional scale, unresolved tensions in Ladakh are leading to the creation of a weak security environment. The absence of sustainable confidence building interventions heightens the chances of misjudgment and worsening of the crisis. Consequently, Ladakh continues to pose a threat to long term regional stability in the region and cooperative security in South Asia. A long-term peace will be based on enduring dialogue, restraint and legitimate mechanisms to control the tensions at the borders.

Conclusion

The Ladakh border dispute is a longer term strategic conflict between China and India and not a tally of individual border incidents. The resurprised territorial claims of China along the Line of Actual Control have escalated military animosity and hardened political stances on both ends. The continuation of forward troop postings and infrastructure development both points to the fact that the conflict has entered the stage of long-term strategic struggle and not a short-term conflict.

Disengagement and de-escalation processes have been significantly helpful in averting the occurrence of massive war. Diplomatic and military negotiations have eased the tensions at certain points and contributed to a weak peace. Nonetheless, such endeavors have failed to put down the fundamental border alignment and mutual perception problems. The ambiguity of the Line of Actual Control is still creating distrust and repeat confrontations in such a way that keeps the danger of escalation alive.

The reaction of India to the new situation on the Ladakh territory can be seen as a multi-dimensional approach. Increased military readiness brings about deterrence and capability to respond swiftly. High altitude terrain is enhanced to enhance mobility, logistics and surveillance through accelerated development of infrastructure. At the diplomatic front, India has remained active in its relation with China besides strengthening alliances with other regional and international players in order to protect its strategic interest. This middle ground aims at preserving the stability, without jeopardizing sovereignty.

Many measures have been taken, but there is still no guarantee of permanence. Extended militarization has economic and human costs and restricts the possibility of cooperation. Mutual restraint, political will and renewed commitment to confidence building measures will also be needed to bring sustainable peace. It should have better border management practices, effective communication channels, and respect to the existing agreements. The Ladakh border issue will persist to jeopardize the security in the region without these measures and promote a positive relationship between India and China.

Acknowledgment

The authors express their sincere gratitude to their respective institutions for providing the academic environment and resources necessary for the completion of this research.

We are especially thankful to the Department of Political Science, Government Hamidia College (PM College of Excellence), Bhopal, for its continuous academic support and encouragement.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

1. Bajpai K India's Grand Strategy Routledge 2019
2. Chellaney B Water Future of India China Conflict *Oxford University Press* 2012
3. Fravel M T Strong Borders Secure Nation *Princeton University Press* 2008
4. Garver J W China's Decision for War with India in 1962 *Stanford University Press* 2001
5. Government of India Ministry of Defence Annual Report on Border Management Latest edition
6. Government of India Ministry of External Affairs Official Statements on India China Relations
7. Gupta S India China Military Scores along the LAC *Journal of Strategic Studies* 2021
8. Institute for Defence Studies and Analyses Reports on Eastern Ladakh and LAC Dynamics
9. Maxwell N India's China War *Pantheon Books* 1970
10. Ministry of External Affairs Government of India Annual Report on India China Bilateral Relations 2025
11. Ministry of Home Affairs Government of India White Paper on Ladakh Border Management 2023
12. Observer Research Foundation Strategic Analysis on India China Border Issues *ORF Reports*
13. Shukla A Infrastructure Development in Ladakh *Journal of Defence Infrastructure* 2024
14. Singh B China Pakistan Economic Corridor Impact on India Observer Research Foundation 2022
15. Tellis A India China Border Policy *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace* 2020