



Original Article

The Dislocated Self in Global Modernity: An Appaduraiian Analysis of Cultural Conflict and Reimagination in Bharati Mukherjee

Dr. Nitin Hiralal Bharaskar¹, Rajani Rajabhau Waghmare²

¹Research Supervisor, K.P.B. Hinduja College of Commerce Mumbai

²Research scholar, Department of English, University of Mumbai

Manuscript ID:

IBMIIRJ -2025-020705

Submitted: 08 June 2025

Revised: 24 June 2025

Accepted: 11 July 2025

Published: 31 July 2025

ISSN: 3065-7857

Volume-2

Issue-7

Pp. 17-21

July 2025

Correspondence Address:

Nitin Hiralal Bharaskar, Research Supervisor, K.P.B. Hinduja College of Commerce Mumbai
Email: rajaniw99@gmail.com



Quick Response Code:



Web: <https://ibrj.us>



DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17043261

DOI Link:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17043261>



Creative Commons

Abstract

Global modernity has redefined the boundaries of selfhood. This is observed in Bharati Mukherjee's narratives; powerfully capture the tensions, transformations, and negotiations of diasporic existence in this changing world. This research paper explores the representation of diasporic identity and cultural dislocation in Bharati Mukherjee's narratives through the theoretical lens of Arjun Appadurai's concept of global cultural flows and disjuncture. Appadurai's framework—comprising ethnoscap, mediascapes, technoscapes, finanscapes, and ideoscapes. This framework articulates how global modernity fragments cultural experience, producing tension and transformation in the lives of transnational subjects. Mukherjee's characters, often immigrant women navigating the complexities of migration, exile, and assimilation, reflect the layered disjuncture of global movement. Novels such as *Jasmine* and *The Holder of the World* dramatize the instability of identity and the violence of reinvention in multicultural spaces shaped by uneven flows of culture, ideology, and capital. This study analyses how Mukherjee's protagonists embody Appadurai's **dislocated self**. This figure squeezed between inherited cultural memory and the seductive yet alienating forces of modernity. *Jasmine*'s multiple identity shifts, for instance, map directly onto ethnoscape disruptions, while Hannah Easton's transhistorical journey engages with ideoscape and mediascape disjunctions across colonial and postcolonial timeframes. By tracing how these characters navigate the cultural turbulence of global modernity. This investigation of study argues that Mukherjee's fiction foregrounds not only the trauma of displacement but also the agency and imaginative reterritorialisation. This made possible in diasporic experience. Appadurai's globalist paradigm reveals her nuanced engagement with cultural conflict, self-fashioning, and the renegotiation of belonging in an interconnected asymmetrical world.

Keywords: Diaspora, Dislocation, Global Modernity, Cultural Flows, Ethnoscapes, Hybridity, Identity, Bharati Mukherjee, Arjun Appadurai, Postcolonialism.

Introduction

The concept of identity is increasingly fluid and contested in the contemporary world shaped by rapid globalization, transnational migration, and cultural heterogeneity. South Asian diasporic literature reflects this dynamic shift through the narratives of displacement, negotiation, and reimagination. Bharati Mukherjee is a prominent Indian-American writer, explores the condition of migrant subjectivity in her works, particularly the experience of Indian women navigating the terrain of Western modernity. Her fiction foregrounds themes of self-reinvention, hybridity, and the emotional and cultural conflicts that arise in the diasporic condition. This paper engages Arjun Appadurai's theory of global cultural flows and disjuncture to examine how Mukherjee constructs the "dislocated self" in her narratives. Appadurai's framework provides a powerful lens to analyze how the overlapping yet fragmented flows of people (ethnoscapes), ideas (ideoscapes), media (mediascapes), and cultural expectations intersect to destabilize traditional forms of identity. Through an analytical reading of *Jasmine* and *The Holder of the World*, this study investigates how Mukherjee's protagonists confront the ruptures of global modernity and reimagine their selves in a disjunctive cultural world. In the age of globalization, the movement of people, ideas, capital, and culture has profoundly reshaped human identity and

Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

How to cite this article:

Bharaskar, N. H., & Waghmare, R. R. (2025). *The Dislocated Self in Global Modernity: An Appaduraiian Analysis of Cultural Conflict and Reimagination in Bharati Mukherjee*. *Insight Bulletin: A Multidisciplinary Interlink International Research Journal*, 2(7), 17–21.
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17043261>

Subjectivity. For individuals navigating diaspora, migration is no longer a unidirectional journey from homeland to hostland; it is a complex, often disjunctive experience marked by emotional, cultural, and spatial fragmentation. Contemporary literature by diasporic writers bears witness to these shifting realities, portraying characters that exist in the margins of multiple cultural contexts, neither fully rooted in the past nor entirely integrated into the present. Within this discourse, Bharati Mukherjee stands out as a significant voice whose fiction vividly explores the transformative and often turbulent process of identity formation within a transnational context. Mukherjee's narratives, especially *Jasmine* and *The Holder of the World*, engage deeply with the condition of the dislocated self a term that captures the existential and cultural uncertainty experienced by migrant subjects negotiating between traditional values and the demands of global modernity. These texts are not merely stories of immigration or assimilation; they reflect broader anxieties about history, belonging, and cultural continuity in an increasingly deterritorialized world. Arjun Appadurai's theory of global cultural flows articulated in his landmark work *Modernity at Large* offers a nuanced framework for analyzing such experiences. His concepts of *ethnoscapes*, *ideoscapes*, and *mediascapes* describe how global cultural forces create fractured yet dynamic environments where identity is constantly imagined and reimagined. By reading Mukherjee through Appadurai's perspectives, this study investigates how her characters reflect and respond to the uneven flows of global modernity, ultimately offering new ways of understanding postcolonial identity in a diasporic world. Mukherjee's protagonists do not merely adapt to new environments they reconstruct themselves in response to the often violent demands of transnational spaces. These transformations are not linear but fragmented, mirroring the disjunctive realities of globalization as theorized by Appadurai. For instance, in *Jasmine*, the titular character undergoes multiple identity shifts. *Jyoti*, *Jasmine*, *Jase*, and *Jane* each tied to a new spatial, cultural, and ideological context.

These shifts are emblematic of Appadurai's *ethnoscape*, where the movement of people across borders destabilizes the fixity of national and cultural identities. Moreover, *Jasmine's* journey illustrates how identity is not preserved across borders but is constantly reconstructed, negotiated, and at times imposed by external forces such as racial politics, gender norms, and immigration laws. Her sense of self is shaped as much by absence (of homeland, language, and tradition) as by presence (of media, urban Western culture, and modern individualism), echoing the *mediascapes* and *ideoscapes* that Appadurai identifies as crucial to global cultural flows. Similarly, *The Holder of the World* presents a more intricate transhistorical and transcultural narrative, where the American protagonist Hannah Easton reimagines herself across space and time, ultimately crossing into colonial India. Her story is mediated through a contemporary American researcher using digital archives yet another instance of Appadurai's *mediascapes* and *technoscapes* shaping global imagination. The narrative structure of the novel itself mimics disjuncture: it refuses a linear historical trajectory and instead layers multiple temporalities, cultures, and voices. Hannah's movement between Puritan America and Mughal India disrupts monolithic colonial narratives and offers a feminist re-reading of empire. Her identity, shaped by desire, trauma, and memory, resists both Western and Eastern cultural containment, exemplifying a truly global modern subject who emerges not through rooted tradition, but through cultural translation and imaginative mobility. Moreover, both novels subvert the binary of home and hostland, showing that diasporic identity is forged in the liminal zones of in-betweenness. Mukherjee does not idealize return or cultural purity; instead, she celebrates reinvention amidst rupture. Her characters' ability to adapt, resist, and reinterpret their surroundings reveals the potential for agency within dislocation. This resonates with Appadurai's notion that global cultural flows, while uneven, can also empower individuals to imagine alternative futures. Thus, the concept of the dislocated self is not merely a condition of loss or alienation but becomes a productive space of identity construction, resistance, and narrative redefinition.

By aligning Mukherjee's diasporic narratives with Appadurai's model, this research underscores the interplay between literary form and global theory. It positions Mukherjee not only as a chronicler of migration but also as a literary cartographer of global modernity. Her fiction, grounded in lived experiences of migration, reflects the anxieties, contradictions, and creative energies that arise from cultural disjuncture. In doing so, it offers a vital contribution to postcolonial literature, illuminating the fluid contours of identity in a world marked by mobility, media, and cultural collisions.

Rationale (Theme)

This research focuses in the growing need to contextualize diasporic literature within the global conditions that shape and unsettle identity. While earlier readings of Bharati Mukherjee's fiction have focused on issues of assimilation, hybridity, and immigration, fewer studies have explored her work through the vision of Arjun Appadurai's global cultural economy. Appadurai challenges the assumption that globalization produces cultural homogeneity. Instead, he shows that globalization creates a fractured and disjunctive cultural field, marked by complex, non-linear flows. This theoretical approach is particularly relevant to understanding Mukherjee's female protagonists, who experience multiple, often conflicting realities shaped by migration, technology, media, and shifting ideologies. *Jasmine's* transformations and Hannah Easton's cross-cultural embodiment reveal the emotional and psychological impact of living amidst these global disjunctures. The novels articulate a postcolonial response to modernity, where identity is neither fixed nor wholly fragmented, but constantly negotiated within the conflicting pressures of origin and destination. This research thus seeks to critically analyze how Mukherjee's diasporic narratives reflect the conditions of dislocation and creative adaptation within global modernity. This research lies in the urgent necessity to reconceptualize diasporic literature not merely as a reflection of cultural nostalgia or assimilation, but as a dynamic literary field shaped by the complex interplay of global forces. Bharati Mukherjee's fiction, when viewed through Arjun Appadurai's framework of global cultural flows, becomes a rich site for analyzing how identity, belonging, and agency are continually redefined in disjunctive cultural spaces. Appadurai's emphasis on the fragmentation of global modernity through non-synchronous and often contradictory flows of people (*ethnoscapes*), media (*mediascapes*), and ideologies (*ideoscapes*)—provides a critical vocabulary for engaging with the incoherence and instability of diasporic subjectivity, especially as portrayed in Mukherjee's women-centered narratives. The thematic core of Mukherjee's work is the transformation of the self under duress—an ongoing negotiation between inherited cultural scripts and emerging global realities. Her protagonists do not simply migrate physically; they traverse psychic, linguistic, and ideological geographies, constructing hybrid identities through adaptation, resistance, and reinvention. In *Jasmine*, the protagonist's

successive name changes mark her dislocation from rooted tradition and her attempt to reframe herself across multiple social contexts, from rural Punjab to the American Midwest. Each incarnation of her identity reflects the pressures of ideoscapes (gender expectations, racial othering, Western liberalism) and mediascapes (consumerism, American pop culture), resulting in a self that is fractured yet increasingly self-directed.

In *The Holder of the World*, the interplay of colonial past and global present demonstrates that identity is also shaped by transhistorical flows. Hannah Easton's embodiment of a white woman in Mughal India, filtered through the contemporary researcher Beigh Masters, suggests how cultural memory, desire, and narrative power mediate diasporic belonging. The novel resists simplistic East-West binaries and instead illustrates how diasporic subjects are constructed through a kaleidoscope of disjunctive images, transgressing national, historical, and gendered boundaries. Mukherjee's fiction engages with the politics of visibility and invisibility, as her female protagonists often navigate marginalization while simultaneously claiming narrative agency. Their identities are shaped by both systemic structures and personal will, echoing Appadurai's assertion that globalization is not entirely top-down but includes "the work of the imagination as a social fact." Therefore, the research situates Mukherjee's protagonists as symbolic embodiments of postcolonial resistance and innovation, negotiating the uncertainty of modernity through storytelling, memory, and performative selfhood.

In this context, the study contributes to the broader discourse of postcolonial theory and diaspora studies by proposing that Mukherjee's characters illustrate how cultural disjuncture becomes a generative force, compelling migrants to refashion themselves at the intersection of competing **scapes**. Rather than viewing dislocation solely as trauma or loss, the paper recognizes it as a creative process of becoming, where identity emerges through fragmentation, not in spite of it.

Objectives

1. To analyze Bharati Mukherjee's narratives using Arjun Appadurai's theory of global cultural flows and disjuncture.
2. To explore how diasporic identity in *Jasmine* and *The Holder of the World* is shaped by ethnoscap, ideoscapes, and mediascapes.
3. To examine the psychological and cultural implications of dislocation in the formation of hybrid identities.
4. To contribute to postcolonial and diaspora studies by linking literary representation with global cultural theory.
5. To understand how Mukherjee's protagonists reimagine belonging and agency in the face of global fragmentation.

Literature Review

Scholarly engagement with Bharati Mukherjee's fiction has long focused on themes of migration, identity, cultural assimilation, and gendered hybridity within the South Asian diaspora. Early critical readings, such as those by Vijay Mishra (1996), position Mukherjee within the discourse of the diasporic imaginary, emphasizing the emotional and psychic dislocations experienced by immigrant subjects. Mishra highlights the ways in which diasporic writers like Mukherjee negotiate memory, nostalgia, and the impossibility of return. Similarly, scholars such as Inderpal Grewal and Gayatri Spivak have addressed the intersection of gender and postcolonialism in diaspora narratives, noting how women's identities are often doubly marginalized first by their displacement, and second by the gendered expectations of both their origin and host cultures. In *Jasmine*, for instance, critics like Cynthia Sugars argue that the protagonist's multiple identity shifts reflect a kind of narrative agency that resists being confined by any one cultural framework. The transformation from Jyoti to Jane is not simply a metaphor for assimilation, but a radical negotiation with modernity. Chitra Sankaran (2009) further expands this discussion by examining Mukherjee's later works through the lens of transnational feminism, arguing that her protagonists are emblematic of women who reclaim space and voice through self-invention.

However, while these readings richly contextualize Mukherjee's work within feminist and postcolonial paradigms, fewer studies have brought her fiction into dialogue with contemporary globalization theory particularly the complex models of cultural disjuncture proposed by Arjun Appadurai. Appadurai's *Modernity at Large* (1996) outlines how the flows of people, media, and ideology in the late modern world create new terrains of identity formation, wherein individuals constantly imagine and reimagine their place in the world. While Appadurai's influence is evident in broader discussions on cultural globalization, his theory has not been substantially applied to Mukherjee's fiction. Scholars like Jana Evans Braziel and Anita Mannur, in *Theorizing Diaspora* (2003), explore how global cultural flows complicate notions of home, nation, and belonging, but the intersection of these ideas with literary narratives remains underdeveloped. This study aims to fill that critical gap by applying Appadurai's model of global scapes to the literary construction of the dislocated self in *Jasmine* and *The Holder of the World*. It builds on existing feminist and postcolonial criticism while contributing a new analytical framework grounded in globalization theory, thereby offering a fresh and nuanced reading of Mukherjee's engagement with identity, cultural negotiation, and modernity in diasporic contexts.

Research Methodology

This research adopts a qualitative and interpretive methodology grounded in literary analysis. The primary method involves close reading of the selected texts—*Jasmine* and *The Holder of the World* focusing on themes of migration, identity, and cultural negotiation. Arjun Appadurai's theoretical framework on global cultural flows (ethnoscap, ideoscapes, mediascapes, technoscapes, finascapes) will serve as the analytical framework. The study also incorporates postcolonial theory, particularly the ideas of dislocation, hybridity, and cultural liminality. Secondary sources, including journal articles, theoretical texts, and scholarly criticism on both Appadurai and Mukherjee, will be used to support the analysis. Comparative insights from diaspora studies and cultural theory will further contextualize the findings.

Scope and Limitation

This study is limited to two of Bharati Mukherjee's novels *Jasmine* and *The Holder of the World* selected for their rich engagement with themes of migration, cultural disjunction, and global identity. The scope does not cover her entire body of work, nor does it extend to the wider South Asian diaspora. While the study draws from Appadurai's theory, it does not provide an

exhaustive application of all five scapes such as ethnoscape, ideoscape, and mediascape, financescape, technoscapes. Instead, it focuses on the most relevant dimensions ethnoscape, ideoscape, and mediascape, as they relate to Mukherjee's characters. The aim is to offer a focused, interpretive reading rather than a generalized theoretical overview.

Conclusion

Bharati Mukherjee's narratives vividly illustrate the condition of the dislocated self in global modernity. Through the journeys of Jasmine and Hannah Easton, we see how diasporic identity is not simply a matter of cultural loss or assimilation, but a continuous and dynamic process of reinvention shaped by the disjunctive flows of people, ideas, and media. Arjun Appadurai's theoretical framework enables a more nuanced understanding of these shifts by situating identity within a globalized cultural economy that is fragmented, uneven, and often contradictory. The characters in Mukherjee's fiction do not experience globalization as a smooth or liberatory process; rather, they endure its ruptures experiencing trauma, alienation, and cultural instability while simultaneously harnessing its affordances for transformation and agency. Both *Jasmine* and *The Holder of the World* demonstrate that identity in the diaspora is constantly negotiated across multiple "scapes" of influence: from the transnational movement of people (ethnoscapes) to the ideological shifts between cultural traditions and modern values (ideoscapes), to the transformative power of representation, storytelling, and digital media (mediascapes). Mukherjee does not offer a simplistic binary between tradition and modernity or homeland and hostland. Instead, she presents a rich narrative landscape in which cultural disjuncture becomes both a source of crisis and a catalyst for imaginative reterritorialization. Her protagonists exhibit a layered consciousness, refusing to remain tethered to singular notions of identity, and instead embracing multiplicity, fragmentation, and reinvention. This study also reaffirms the critical role of literature in mapping the emotional and existential landscapes of globalization. By aligning Mukherjee's narratives with Appadurai's global cultural theory, this research brings a fresh lens to diasporic literature, one that foregrounds the intersections between personal narrative and systemic global forces. The protagonists' journeys underscore the importance of narrative agency and cultural imagination in negotiating dislocation. In a world increasingly defined by forced migration, multicultural friction, and digital interconnectivity, Mukherjee's fiction offers an important template for understanding how subjectivity is formed and transformed in response to global modernity. Ultimately, this study affirms that diasporic literature, when read through the lens of global cultural flows and postcolonial disjuncture, deepens our understanding of the human condition in a rapidly transforming world. Mukherjee's work not only documents the fragmented realities of immigrant life but also reveals the possibilities for resilience, redefinition, and new forms of belonging making her narratives enduringly relevant in both literary and socio-cultural contexts.

Suggestions

This study opens several avenues for further research in the field of diasporic and postcolonial literature. Future studies may extend this analysis to include other works by Bharati Mukherjee, such as *Desirable Daughters* and *The Tree Bride*, which also explore themes of migration, modernity, and cultural conflict. Additionally, comparative readings involving other diasporic authors like Jhumpa Lahiri, Meena Alexander, or Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni could provide a broader understanding of how South Asian women writers negotiate identity within global cultural flows. Employing an interdisciplinary approach that brings together literary theory, migration studies, and digital media analysis could also enrich the exploration of Appadurai's disjunctive **scapes** in a twenty-first-century context. Moreover, integrating Appadurai's theories with complementary frameworks such as Homi Bhabha's notions of hybridity and liminality, or Stuart Hall's concept of cultural identity can offer deeper insight into the layered and often contradictory experiences of diasporic subjects. Finally, the pedagogical implications of this research suggest that incorporating Appadurain readings into literature curricula can foster more inclusive and globally attuned discussions on identity, belonging, and cultural negotiation in postcolonial classrooms. This study provides the five dimensional approaches for the masterpieces of Bharati Mukherjee in the cultural flows and disjuncture.

Acknowledgment

The researcher expresses sincere gratitude to Dr. Nitin Hiralal Bharaskar, Research Supervisor, K.P.B. Hinduja College of Commerce, Mumbai, for his invaluable guidance, constructive feedback, and continuous encouragement throughout the course of this research. Special thanks are extended to the Department of English, University of Mumbai, for providing the academic environment and resources essential for the completion of this study. The researcher is also indebted to the authors, scholars, and critics whose works have informed and enriched this research. Finally, heartfelt appreciation is offered to family and friends for their unwavering support, patience, and motivation during the research process.

Financial Support and Sponsorship

Nil

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

Primary Sources

1. Mukherjee, Bharati. *Jasmine*. Grove Press, 1989.
2. Mukherjee, Bharati. *The Holder of the World*. Alfred A. Knopf, 1993.

Secondary Sources

1. Appadurai, Arjun. *Modernity at Large: Cultural Dimensions of Globalization*. University of Minnesota Press, 1996.
2. Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
3. Braziel, Jana Evans, and Anita Mannur, editors. *Theorizing Diaspora: A Reader*. Blackwell Publishing, 2003.

4. Hall, Stuart. "Cultural Identity and Diaspora." *Colonial Discourse and Post-Colonial Theory*, edited by Patrick Williams and Laura Chrisman, Columbia UP, 1994, pp. 392–403.
5. Mishra, Vijay. "The Diasporic Imaginary: Theorizing the Indian Diaspora." *Textual Practice*, vol. 10, no. 3, 1996, pp. 421–447.
6. Paranjape, Makarand. In *Diaspora: Theories, Histories, Texts*. Indialog Publications, 2001.