Original Article

Bridging Academia and Society: A Framework for Implementing Community Engagement and Service (CEP) under NEP in Traditional Arts, Commerce, and Science Colleges

Dr. Dipraj R. Sankla[1], Anil B. Khanse[2]

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Sir Parashurambhau College (Empowered Autonomous), Pune
[2]Assistant Professor, HOD – Commerce, Sir Parashurambhau College (Empowered Autonomous), Pune

Abstract

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 underscores the need for a transformative approach to undergraduate education by mandating Community Engagement and Service (CEP). This research paper examines the conceptual foundation, objectives, and implementation framework of CEP in traditional Arts, Commerce, and Science colleges. CEP serves as a bridge between academia and society by fostering experiential learning, civic responsibility, and holistic student development while aligning with NEP's vision of multidisciplinary and outcome-based education. The study explores the structural components of CEP, including curriculum design, faculty roles, and community partnerships, within the NEP's credit-based academic framework. Additionally, it identifies key challenges such as resource limitations, faculty preparedness, and stakeholder engagement, proposing strategic solutions to ensure seamless integration. The paper also presents insights from government policies and global best practices to develop a robust implementation model, ensuring CEP's potential to enhance educational quality, employability, and societal impact. By embedding real-world learning experiences into academic curricula, CEP fosters socially responsible graduates equipped with problem-solving and leadership skills. The study concludes with recommendations for policymakers, institutions, and faculty to drive the successful adoption of CEP through collaborative strategies and sustainable policy initiatives. The integration of CEP represents a significant step toward redefining undergraduate education in India, ensuring students develop a sense of social commitment and the competencies needed to address real-world challenges effectively.

Keywords: *Community Engagement and Service, NEP 2020, holistic education, experiential learning, social responsibility, credit-based structure, community collaboration, higher education reform.*

Introduction

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 represents a paradigm shift in Indian education, emphasizing holistic, multidisciplinary, and flexible learning. A cornerstone of this transformative framework is the introduction of Community Engagement and Service (CEP) as a mandatory component of undergraduate (UG) education. Designed to bridge the gap between academia and society, CEP seeks to instill social responsibility, enhance experiential learning, and prepare students to address real-world challenges. Traditional UG programs, especially in Arts, Commerce, and Science colleges, have historically prioritized theoretical knowledge, often leaving students with limited practical exposure to societal issues. CEP addresses this gap by integrating community-based projects into the curriculum, fostering a deeper understanding of socio-economic challenges, and equipping students with problem-solving and leadership skills. By aligning academic learning with societal needs, CEP promotes reciprocal benefits for students and communities alike. Furthermore, CEP contributes to employability by equipping graduates with essential soft skills, ethical values, and a strong sense of civic responsibility. This paper explores the concept, and objectives of CEP, along with a comprehensive framework for its implementation in traditional UG colleges. It also discusses the guidelines for faculty involvement, curriculum integration, and credit allocation, ensuring effective execution of CEP. Finally, the study analyzes the benefits and challenges of CEP, offering actionable insights for policymakers, educators, and institutions to facilitate seamless adoption and long-term success.

How to cite this article:
Sankla, D. R., & Khanse, A. B. (2025). Bridging Academia and Society: A Framework for Implementing Community Engagement and Service (CEP) under NEP in Traditional Arts, Commerce, and Science Colleges. Insight Bulletin: A Multidisciplinary Interlink International Research Journal, 2(3), 98–102. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15423217

Review of Literature:

a. **Community Engagement in Higher Education:** Community engagement has emerged as a critical pedagogical approach in higher education to foster social responsibility, critical thinking, and experiential learning. (Eyler, 1999) Eyler and Giles emphasize that community-based activities enhance students' problem-solving abilities and interpersonal skills. Globally, service-learning models have been widely adopted in universities across the United States and Europe, demonstrating the effectiveness of community engagement in fostering civic awareness and societal contributions (Kezar, 2019). Kolb's Experiential Learning Cycle suggests that students learn best when they engage in hands-on experiences, reflect on them, conceptualize theories, and apply them in new situations (Kolb, 1984) CEP's model of integrating fieldwork into coursework directly supports this theory. Freire's Pedagogy of the Oppressed advocates for participatory education where students become agents of change through community involvement (Freire, 1970). CEP, by fostering student-community interactions, empowers students to take on leadership roles in societal issues.

b. **Global Best Practices in Community Engagement Programs:** Carnegie Classification for Community Engagement in the United States provides a framework for institutionalizing service-learning, emphasizing the long-term benefits of community partnerships (Driscoll, 2008). Service-Learning Models in Europe highlight structured approaches where students work on real-world projects while earning academic credits, similar to NEP 2020's vision for CEP (Bringle, 1995). University Social Responsibility (USR) Framework in Asia underscores how universities in China, Singapore, and Japan integrate community engagement with research and learning to enhance societal impact (Cheng, 2016).

c. **NEP 2020 and Experiential Learning:** The National Education Policy 2020 emphasizes experiential learning to bridge the gap between classroom knowledge and societal needs. It envisions community engagement as a mandatory component to develop socially conscious and employable graduates. According to the NEP 2020 Implementation Report (Ministry of Education, 2022), CEP activities contribute significantly to holistic education by providing students with field-based learning opportunities, enhancing employability, and addressing socio-economic challenges in communities.

d. **Benefits of Field-Based Learning:** Research indicates that field-based learning contributes to both student and community development. Kumar et al. found that participation in community projects improves students' interpersonal and analytical skills while preparing them for real-world challenges (Kumar, 2022). Mehta highlights the potential of CEP to foster critical thinking, social responsibility, and civic engagement among students (Mehta, 2021).

e. **Challenges in Implementing CEP:** Despite its benefits, implementing CEP in traditional colleges poses significant challenges. Studies by Bawa (Bawa, 2021) and Choudhary (Choudhary, 2021) identify common barriers, including:

- **Resource Constraints:** Limited funding and infrastructure hinder effective program execution.

- **Faculty Preparedness:** Resistance from faculty due to inadequate training and awareness.

- **Community Resistance:** Lack of collaboration and understanding among local stakeholders.

The Government of Maharashtra (2023) recommends partnerships with NGOs, professional organizations, and industries to overcome these challenges. Structured frameworks and faculty development programs are critical for addressing implementation barriers (Maharashtra., 2023).

Objectives of the Research Paper: The primary research objectives of this research paper are:

a. To explain the concept, scope, and purpose of CEP as a transformative initiative under NEP 2020 in undergraduate education.

b. To propose actionable guidelines for faculty and institutions to effectively implement CEP, including curriculum integration, credit allocation, and strategies for fostering student and community engagement across disciplines.

c. To develop a structured framework for integrating CEP into traditional Arts, Commerce, and Science colleges, ensuring seamless adoption and alignment with academic objectives.

d. To propose practical solutions and collaborative strategies for overcoming challenges in CEP implementation, ensuring its long-term success and sustainability.

Research Methodology:

This study adopts a descriptive research approach, synthesizing insights from both primary and secondary data sources to propose a robust framework for implementing Community Engagement and Service (CEP) in traditional Arts, Commerce, and Science colleges under NEP 2020. Key components include:

a. **Primary Sources:**

- **Policy Documents:** Key directives from NEP 2020, including Maharashtra's higher education framework and government-issued implementation guidelines for community engagement and credit allocation.

- **Qualitative Insights:** Insights from faculty members involved in pilot CEP projects to understand their experiences, challenges, and best practices.

b. **Secondary Sources:** Scholarly articles and reports on experiential learning, community engagement, and the integration of field-based activities into higher education curricula.

c. **Data Collection and Analysis:**

- **Document Analysis:** Examination of policy documents, NEP guidelines, and state-specific directives to identify credit requirements, curriculum integration strategies, and collaborative frameworks.

- **Qualitative Methods:** Thematic analysis of faculty interviews to extract actionable insights for implementation.

Understanding Community Engagement and Service (CEP):

a. **Definition and Scope:** CEP is a transformative initiative under the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, designed to bridge the gap between academia and society. It involves structured, faculty-supervised activities that connect students with local communities to address real-world societal challenges. These activities include fieldwork, service-learning projects, and community service initiatives, integrating academic knowledge with practical application. CEP encourages students to actively participate in solving socio-economic issues, fostering reciprocal benefits for students and communities alike.

b. **Key Principles and Goals:**

- **Social Responsibility:** CEP aims to instill a strong sense of civic duty and ethical responsibility among students. By engaging with communities, students gain a deeper understanding of societal issues, fostering empathy and a commitment to social impact.

- **Experiential Learning:** A cornerstone of CEP is its focus on experiential learning, which bridges theoretical knowledge with practical, hands-on experience. Through activities like community projects, students develop critical thinking, problem-solving, and teamwork skills essential for real-world success.

- **Holistic Development:** CEP emphasizes the intellectual, emotional, and ethical growth of students. By participating in disciplinary or multidisciplinary projects, students enhance their cognitive abilities, interpersonal skills, and leadership qualities, contributing to their personal and professional development.

c. **Activities Under CEP:**

- **Field Projects:** Conducting surveys and research on pressing community issues, such as environmental conservation, health awareness, or financial literacy.

- **Service-Learning:** Engaging in collaborative initiatives with local NGOs or government bodies to implement impactful projects.

- **Workshops and Seminars:** Organizing skill-building sessions and discussions on topics like civic responsibility, ethics, and community development.

d. **Impact on Stakeholders:**

- **For Students:** Provides practical exposure, enhances employability skills, and instills social and ethical consciousness.

- **For Communities:** Offers innovative solutions to local challenges while fostering collaboration with academia.

- **For Institutions:** Strengthens accreditation metrics, builds stronger community ties, and fulfills NEP objectives.

Framework for Implementing Community Engagement and Service (CEP):

A. **Proposed Model for Effective Implementation**:

a. **Curriculum Design and Tailoring CEP Activities to Disciplines:**

- Integrate CEP as a mandatory, credit-based course linked to a major subject (to meet the 50% credit requirement for awarding a major subject degree); otherwise, it can be associated with any discipline as a general course, in alignment with NEP guidelines."

- Embed CEP activities within the second and third years of UG programs to ensure academic progression and practical exposure.

- Cover modules on Community Engagement, Community Needs, Project Opportunities, Project Implementation, and Skill Development, providing students with the knowledge, skills, and ethics for impactful and sustainable engagement.

- Define clear objectives, learning outcomes, and assessment metrics for CEP activities, including metrics such as a Project Proposal and Progress Report (Field Diary) for CIA, and a CEP Project Report Submission along with a Final Presentation/Viva of the project findings for SEE.

- Example Activities and Projects Tailored to Disciplines:

✓ **Arts:** Organize cultural preservation initiatives, community-based storytelling projects, and awareness campaigns on social issues.

✓ **Commerce:** Conduct financial literacy workshops, micro-entrepreneurship training sessions, and business development assistance for local communities.

✓ **Science:** Implement health awareness drives, environmental conservation projects, and STEM education initiatives for underprivileged schools.

b. **Community Collaboration:**

- Partner with local NGOs, government bodies, and industry stakeholders to identify and address community needs.

- Develop long-term relationships with community organizations to ensure the sustainability of projects.

- Create formal Memorandums of Understanding (MoUs) with stakeholders to define roles and responsibilities.

c. **Role of Faculty:**

- Act as facilitators to guide students through project planning, execution, and evaluation.

- Participate in training sessions on community engagement methodologies and experiential learning practices.

B. **Steps for Integrating CEP in the UG Curriculum:**

a. **Pre-Engagement Preparation:**

- Conduct orientation sessions for students and faculty to introduce CEP objectives and methodologies.

- Identify pressing community needs in collaboration with local stakeholders.

- Develop project guidelines, timelines, and expected deliverables.

- Organize workshops on project planning, communication skills, and cultural sensitivity to prepare students for fieldwork.

b. Execution Phase:

- Implement projects through fieldwork, community service initiatives, and service-learning activities.
- Assign specific roles and responsibilities to students, encouraging teamwork and leadership.
- Monitor progress through regular check-ins, feedback sessions, and documentation.

c. Post-Engagement Evaluation:

- Conduct reflection sessions to assess learning outcomes and gather student feedback.
- Evaluate project outcomes based on reports, presentations, and community impact.
- Document best practices and challenges to refine future CEP activities.
- Share project findings and success stories with stakeholders and the academic community.

C. Credit-Based Workload and Faculty Allocation:

- Assign faculty-specific workloads equivalent to CEP credit hours for effective supervision.
- Divide students into manageable batches (25-30 per faculty) to ensure personalized guidance and monitoring.
- Assign 2 credits for CEP, requiring 30 contact hours per credit per semester, along with 15 hours of activities such as preparation for community engagement and service, report preparation, and independent reading and study. Therefore, the total learner engagement time for a 2-credit course would be 90 hours. Of the contact hours, 50% (30 hours) will be dedicated to classroom instruction, with 2 hours per week (A semester is of 15 weeks), while the remaining 50% (30 hours) will involve interaction with the community through fieldwork.

Challenges and Solutions in Implementing Community Engagement and Service (CEP):

A. Common Challenges in Implementing CEP:

a. Resource Constraints:

- Limited funding for fieldwork, materials, and infrastructure required for CEP activities.
- Insufficient technological resources to support hybrid or online engagement.

b. Stakeholder Resistance:

- Lack of awareness or scepticism among students, faculty, and community members about the value of CEP.
- Resistance to change in traditional teaching and learning practices.

c. Faculty Training Gaps:

- Faculty members may lack the necessary skills and experience to design, implement, and supervise CEP activities effectively.
- Limited access to training programs on experiential learning and community engagement methodologies.

d. Alignment Issues:

- Difficulty in aligning community needs with academic objectives and available resources.
- Challenges in integrating CEP into the existing credit-based structure without overburdening students or faculty.

e. Sustainability Concerns:

- Lack of long-term partnerships with community organizations.
- Challenges in maintaining consistent engagement and impact over multiple academic cycles.

B. Practical Solutions and Targeted Recommendations:

a. Resource Mobilization and Allocation (For Colleges and Policymakers):

- Secure funding through government grants, corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives, and private sponsorships.
- Establish institutional funds dedicated to CEP activities, including fieldwork, workshops, and resource development.
- Utilize cost-effective digital platforms for online learning and community engagement to reduce logistical expenses.

b. Building Awareness Among Stakeholders (For Colleges and Faculty):

- Conduct orientation sessions, workshops, and seminars to educate students, faculty, and community members about the benefits and objectives of CEP.
- Share success stories, impact metrics, and case studies from pilot CEP projects to garner support and build trust.
- Develop promotional materials, such as brochures and videos, highlighting the reciprocal benefits of CEP for communities and students.

c. Capacity Building for Faculty and Students (For Faculty and Colleges):

- Organize regular training programs and workshops for faculty on experiential learning, project management, and community engagement techniques.
- Encourage peer learning by creating a repository of best practices, templates, and guidelines for CEP activities.
- Provide students with pre-engagement training on communication, teamwork, and cultural sensitivity to enhance their readiness for community projects.

d. Establishing Collaborative Frameworks (For Colleges and Policymakers):

- Encourage universities and colleges to organize regular interactive meetings with community representatives to align projects with societal needs.
- Partner with NGOs, government bodies, industries, and local organizations to co-design and implement CEP projects.

- Create formal Memorandums of Understanding (MoUs) with community stakeholders to define roles, responsibilities, and long-term objectives.
- Foster interdisciplinary collaboration by involving multiple academic departments in CEP planning and execution.
- Promote interdisciplinary projects to address complex challenges requiring diverse expertise.

e. Creating Institutional Support Mechanisms (For Colleges):

- Establish dedicated CEP cells or committees to oversee planning, execution, and evaluation of activities.
- Allocate specific workloads to faculty for supervising CEP projects, ensuring manageable batch sizes (25-30 students per faculty).
- Integrate CEP metrics into institutional accreditation frameworks, such as NAAC, to emphasize its importance and impact.

f. Ensuring Sustainability and Scalability (For Colleges and Policymakers):

- Build long-term relationships with community partners to ensure continuity and deeper impact of CEP projects.
- Encourage feedback from stakeholders to refine and improve CEP activities over time.
- Promote interdisciplinary and collaborative approaches to address complex, multi-dimensional societal challenges.

Concluding Remarks:

The integration of Community Engagement and Service (CEP) under the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 holds immense potential to bridge the gap between academia and society. As this paper highlights, CEP is not just a curriculum enhancement but a transformative educational approach that fosters experiential learning, civic responsibility, and holistic development among students. By embedding CEP into undergraduate programs in traditional Arts, Commerce, and Science colleges, institutions can cultivate a generation of socially responsible, employable graduates equipped to tackle real-world challenges.

The proposed framework provides actionable insights into curriculum design, stakeholder collaboration, and faculty responsibilities, emphasizing the alignment of CEP with NEP's vision of multidisciplinary and outcome-based education. While challenges such as resource constraints, faculty training gaps, and stakeholder resistance remain, the solutions outlined—ranging from strategic resource mobilization to structured faculty training—demonstrate that effective implementation is achievable with concerted effort.

Ultimately, CEP represents a paradigm shift in Indian higher education, where academic learning transcends classroom boundaries to make meaningful contributions to society. It is imperative for institutions, policymakers, and community stakeholders to collaborate strategically, ensuring the seamless integration of CEP into the curriculum. Such efforts will not only enhance educational quality but also address pressing societal issues, thus creating a ripple effect of positive change. As the NEP envisions, bridging academia and society through CEP is a step toward creating a more equitable, informed, and empowered nation, where education truly serves as the cornerstone of social progress.

Conflicts of interest:
There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Bawa, P. (2021). Challenges in Implementing Service-Learning in Higher Education. . *Journal of Experiential Education*, 44(3), 241-257.
2. Bringle, R. G. (1995). A Service-Learning Curriculum for Faculty. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 2(1), 112-122.
3. Cheng, W. (2016). University Social Responsibility in Asia: Key Lessons for the Indian Context. *Higher Education Studies*, 6(3), 45-58.
4. Choudhary, S. (2021). Integrating Community Engagement in Higher Education. *Journal of Educational Innovation.*, 12(1), 45-62.
5. Driscoll, A. (2008). Carnegie's Community-Engagement Classification: Intentions and Insights. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 40(1), 38-41.
6. Eyler, J. &. (1999). *Where's the Learning in Service-Learning? San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.*
7. Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed.* New York: Continuum.
8. Kezar, A. (2019). Service-Learning Models in Global Education. *International Journal of Higher Education.*, 8(4), 15-29.
9. Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development.* Englewood Cliffs NJ: Prentice-Hall.
10. Kumar, A. e. (2022). Benefits of Field-Based Learning in Undergraduate Programs. Higher Education Quarterly. pp. 76(2), 202-219.
11. Maharashtra., G. o. (2023). *NEP Implementation Guidelines. .* Maharashtra State Higher Education Department.
12. Mehta, A. (2021). Experiential Learning Through Community Projects. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 75(3), 184-198.
13. Ministry of Education, G. o. (2022). *NEP 2020 Implementation Report .*